

technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Respondents/Affected Entities:

- Paint Production
- Inorganics
- Solvents (users of 21 specific solvents)
- Petroleum Refining
- Chlorinated Aliphatics
- Dyes and Pigments
- Pulp and Paper

Estimated Number of Respondents: 2,446.

Frequency of Response: 1.14 responses for each respondent.

Estimated Total Annual Hour Burden: 38.4 hours.

Estimated Total Annualized Cost Burden: \$1,260,000.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques to the following addresses. Please refer to EPA ICR No. 818.06 and OMB Control No. 2050-0042 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460 and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: May 14, 1996.

Joseph Retzer

Director, Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 96-12481 Filed 5-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

Agency Information Collection Activities Under OMB Review

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).

ACTION: Notice.

SUMMARY: In compliance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*), this notice announces that the Information Collection Request (ICR)

abstracted below has been forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for review and comment. The ICR describes the nature of the information collection and its expected cost and burden; where appropriate, it includes the actual data collection instrument.

DATES: Comments must be submitted on or before June 17, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION OR A COPY CALL: Sandy Farmer at EPA, 202-260-2740, and refer to EPA ICR No. 1626.03.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Title: "National Recycling and Emissions Reduction Program" (OMB Control Number 2060-0256; EPA Control Number 1626.05). This is a request for an extension of a currently approved collection.

Abstract: In 1993, EPA promulgated regulations under Section 608 of the Clean Air Act Amendments of 1990 (Act) for the recycling of CFCs and HCFCs in air-conditioning and refrigeration equipment. These regulations were published in 58 FR 28660, and are codified at 40 CFR Part 82, subpart F (§ 82.150 *et seq.*). The reasons the information is being collected, the way the information is to be used, and whether the requirements are mandatory, voluntary, or required to obtain a benefit, are described below. The ICR renewal does not include any burden for third-party or public disclosures not previously reviewed and approved by OMB. An Agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number. The OMB control numbers for EPA's regulations are listed in 40 CFR Part 9. The Federal Register Notice with a 60-day comment period soliciting comments on this collection of information was published on February 13, 1996.

Equipment Testing Organizations

Equipment testing organizations must apply to EPA to become approved. Approved equipment testing organizations must maintain records of the tests performed and their results, and must submit a list of all certified equipment to EPA annually. Testing organizations must notify EPA whenever a new model of equipment is certified or whenever an existing certified model fails a recertification test. Information collected from equipment certifiers is required to ensure that recycling and recovery equipment meets the performance standards of the regulation and that all approved testing laboratories have the

equipment and expertise to test equipment to these standards.

Servicing and Disposal Establishments

Persons maintaining, servicing, repairing, or disposing of appliances must certify to EPA that they have acquired certified recycling or recovery equipment and are complying with the requirements of the rule. This certification must be renewed in the event of a change of ownership of the service or disposal establishment. In addition, service establishments are required to maintain adequate documentation of technician certification. These requirements help the Agency to target its enforcement efforts.

Reclaimers

Refrigerant reclaimers must maintain records of the names and addresses of persons sending them material for reclamation as well as the quantity of the material (the combined mass of refrigerant and contaminants) sent. In addition, reclaimers must maintain records of the mass of refrigerant reclaimed and the mass of waste products. Reclaimers must report this information (total quantities) to the Agency annually. This information helps the Agency track refrigerant use to ensure that no refrigerant is vented at service or disposal.

Refrigerant Wholesalers

Wholesalers must maintain records indicating the names of purchasers, dates of sales, and quantities of refrigerant purchased. This information helps the Agency to track refrigerant use and identify points of noncompliance. The Agency believes that wholesalers already maintain such records. In addition to normal business records, wholesalers have to maintain records verifying that purchasers of refrigerant are properly certified. These records will be used by EPA inspectors to ensure that refrigerants are only sold to certified technicians. This is to guarantee that individuals who purchase refrigerant are aware of the legal restrictions on its use.

Disposers

Persons disposing of small appliances, room air conditioners, and MVACs must maintain copies of signed statements attesting that the refrigerant has been removed prior to final disposal of each appliance. This information helps EPA to verify that refrigerant is recovered at some point during the disposal process even if the final disposer does not have recovery equipment.

Technicians

In order for technicians to use recycling and recovery equipment, they have to pass a certification test. Technicians have to maintain a wallet-sized certification card. The test is necessary to ensure that technicians understand refrigerant recovery procedures and regulations. The card is necessary to ensure that only certified technicians perform work on air conditioning and refrigeration equipment or purchase refrigerants.

Technician Certification Programs

Organizations operating technician certification programs have to apply to EPA to have their program approved. Approved technician certification programs have to maintain records including the names of certified technicians and the unique numbers assigned to each technician certified through their programs. Approved technician certification programs also have to submit a report to EPA every six months including the pass/fail rate and testing schedules.

The application process ensures that the technician certification programs meet minimum standards for generating, tracking, and grading tests, and keeping records. Record maintenance allows both the Agency and the certification program to verify certification claims and monitor the certification process. The semiannual reports give the Agency the ability to evaluate certification programs and modify the certification test if necessary.

Refrigeration and Air Conditioning Equipment Owners

Owners of refrigeration or air conditioning equipment that contain more than 50 pounds of refrigerant must maintain records of the quantity of refrigerant used during each service procedure performed for the equipment. This ensures that owners can determine when they are subject to leak repair requirements. In addition, equipment owners who decided not to repair leaks must develop and maintain a record of a plan that states that the equipment will be either retired, replaced or retrofitted. The development of such a plan ensures that equipment owners intend to take action to reduce emissions.

Owners of Industrial Process Refrigeration

Under an amendment to the section 608 rule that was promulgated on August 8, 1995 (60 FR 40420), owners of industrial process refrigeration equipment who wish to receive an extension or exclusion under the leak

repair amendment are subject to the following reporting and recordkeeping requirements. (The Office of Management and Budget approved the amendment to the ICR reflecting this amendment on September 28, 1995.)

(1) Those persons wishing to extend leak repair compliance beyond the required 30 days must maintain and submit to EPA information identifying the facility, the leak rate, the method used to determine the leak rate and full charge, the date a leak rate greater than allowable was discovered, the location of the leaks, any repair work completed thus far and date completed, a plan to fix other outstanding leaks to achieve allowable leak rate, reasons why greater than 30 days is needed, and an estimate of when repair work will be completed. Any dates and results of static and dynamic tests must also be maintained and submitted to EPA.

(2) Those persons wishing to extend retrofit compliance beyond the required one year must maintain and submit to EPA information identifying the facility, the leak rate, the method used to determine the leak rate and full charge, the date a leak rate of greater than the allowable rate was discovered, the location of leaks, any repair work that has been completed thus far and date completed, a plan to complete the retrofit or replacement of the system, the reasons why more than one year is necessary, the date of notification to EPA, an estimate of when retrofit or replacement work will be completed, if time changes for original estimates occur, documentation of the reason why, and the date of notification to EPA regarding a change in the estimate of when the work will be completed.

(3) Those persons wishing to exclude purged refrigerants that are destroyed from the annual leak rate calculations must maintain records on-site to support the amount of refrigerant claimed sent for destruction. These records must include flow rate, quantity or concentration of the refrigerant in the vent stream, and periods of purge flow.

(4) Those persons wishing to calculate the full charge of an affected appliance by establishing a range based on the best available data, regarding the normal operating characteristics and conditions for the appliance, must maintain records on-site to support the methodology used in selecting or modifying the particular range.

The sum of these changes represents an increase in reporting requirements only for those persons wishing to receive an extension or exclusion under the leak repair amendment.

These reporting and recordkeeping requirements allow determinations to be

made regarding requested extensions and exclusions under the amendments to the leak repair provisions, which were written in response to industry concerns and with the concurrence of industry. Specifically, the amendments allow for persons to extend their compliance deadlines, to exclude destroyed purged refrigerants from leak rate calculations, or to use a range rather than calculate the full charge, when certain circumstances exist. EPA would be unable to make determinations as to the viability of a claim regarding the need for an extension without the information under the recordkeeping and reporting requirements. In negotiating the settlement agreement with members of CMA, those members agreed with the proposed recordkeeping and reporting requirements.

Burden Statement: The annual public reporting and recordkeeping burden for this collection of information is estimated to average .18 hours per response. This estimate includes the time needed to review instructions; develop, acquire, install, and utilize technology and systems for the purposes of collecting, validating, and verifying information, processing and maintaining information, and disclosing and providing information; adjust the existing ways to comply with any previously applicable instructions and requirements; train personnel to be able to respond to a collection of information; search data sources; complete and review the collection of information; and transmit or otherwise disclose the information.

Affected Entities: Entities affected by this action are refrigeration and air conditioning service and repair shops, plumbing, heating, and air conditioning contractors, refrigerated transport service dealers, scrap metal recyclers, and automobile dismantlers and recyclers. Additional entities affected include Clean Air Act Section 608 technician certifications programs, equipment certification programs, refrigerant wholesalers and reclaimers, and other establishments that perform refrigerant removal at service and disposal.

Estimated No. of Respondents: 2,276,142.

Estimated Total Annual Burden on Respondents: 419,546 hours.

Frequency of Collection: Occasional, annual, and semiannual.

Send comments on the Agency's need for this information, the accuracy of the provided burden estimates, and any suggested methods for minimizing respondent burden, including through the use of automated collection techniques, to the following addresses.

Please refer to EPA ICR No. 1626.05 and OMB No. 2060-0256 in any correspondence.

Ms. Sandy Farmer, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, OPPE Regulatory Information Division (2137), 401 M Street, SW., Washington, DC 20460 and

Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs, Office of Management and Budget, Attention: Desk Officer for EPA, 725 17th Street, NW., Washington, DC 20503.

Dated: May 14, 1996.

Joseph Retzer,

Director, Regulatory Information Division.

[FR Doc. 96-12483 Filed 5-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[ER-FRL-5469-5]

Environmental Impact Statements; Notice of Availability (NOA)

RESPONSIBLE AGENCY: Office of Federal Activities, General Information (202) 564-7167 OR (202) 564-7153.

Weekly receipt of Environmental Impact Statements Filed May 06, 1996 Through May 10, 1996 Pursuant to 40 CFR 1506.9.

*Due to a Power Failure Beyond our Control The EPA NOA of EISs Filed during the Week of April 29, 1996 and May 3, 1996 Appeared in the May 13, 1996 Federal Register. The 45 Day Comment Period and the 30 Day Wait Period is Calculated from the Intended May 10, 1996, Federal Register Date.

EIS No. 960223, DRAFT EIS, AFS, AK, Helicopter Landings within Wilderness, Implementation, Tongass National Forest, Chatham, Stikine and Ketchikan Area, AK, Due: July 15, 1996, Contact: Bill Tremblay (907) 772-3841.

EIS No. 960224, DRAFT EIS, USN, Naval Spent Nuclear Fuel Container System Management, Loading, Handling and Dry Storage, Transportation and Storage, Handling and Transportation of certain Associated Radioactive Waste, Implementation, United States, Due: July 03, 1996, Contact: William Knoll (703) 602-8229.

EIS No. 960225, DRAFT EIS, NPS, NY, Manhattan Sites General Management Plans, Implementation, Castle Clinton National Monument, Federal Hall National Memorial, General Grant National Memorial, Saint Paul's Church National Historic Site and Theodore Roosevelt Birthplace National Historic Site, New York and Westchester Counties, NY, Due: July 01, 1996, Contact: Joseph Avery (212) 825-1990.

EIS No. 960226, DRAFT EIS, MMS, AL, TX, MS, LA, Central and Western

Planning Areas, Gulf of Mexico 1997 Outer Continental Shelf Oil and Gas Sales 166 (March 1997) and 168 (August 1997) Lease Offering, Offshore Marine Environment and coastal counties, Parishes of Alabama, MS, TX and LA, Due: August 09, 1996, Contact: Archie Melancon (703) 787-5471.

EIS No. 960227, FINAL EIS, MMS, AK, Beaufort Sea Planning Area Proposed 1996 Oil and Gas Lease Sale No. 144, Lease Offerings, Alaska Outer Continental Shelf (OCS), AK, Due: June 17, 1996, Contact: George Valiulis (703) 787-1662.

EIS No. 960228, DRAFT EIS, FHWA, MT, US 93 Highway Transportation Improvements, between Hamilton (Milepost) 49.0 to Lolo (Milepost 83.2), Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, Ravalli and Missoula Counties, MT, Due: July 19, 1996, Contact: Dale Paulson (406) 441-1230.

EIS No. 960229, DRAFT EIS, USA, AZ, Western Army National Guard Aviation Training Site Expansion Project, Designation of an Expanded Tactical Flight Training Area (TFTA), Development or use of a Helicopter Gunnery Range and Construction and Operation of various Facilities on the Silver Bell Army Heliport (SBAH), Maricopa, Pima and Pinal Counties, AZ, Due: July 01, 1996, Contact: Lt. Richard Murphy (520) 682-4590.

Amended Notices

EIS No. 960067, DRAFT EIS, AFS, CO, Routt National Forest Land and Resource Management Plan, Implementation, Grand, Routt, Rio Blanco, Jackson, Moffat and Garfield Counties, CO, Due: July 01, 1996, Contact: Jerry E. Schmidt (970) 879-1722.

Published FR-02-16-96-Review Period Extended.

EIS No. 960156, DRAFT EIS, NPS, CA, Cabrillo National Monument, General Management Plan/Development Concept Plans, Implementation, San Diego County, CA, Due: June 10, 1996, Contact: Terry DiMattio (619) 557-5450.

Published FR-04-12-96-Due Date Correction.

Dated: May 14, 1996.

William D. Dickerson,

Director, NEPA Compliance Division, Office of Federal Activities.

[FR Doc. 96-12488 Filed 5-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-M

[ER-FRL-5469-6]

Environmental Impact Statements and Regulations; Availability of EPA Comments

Availability of EPA comments prepared April 29, 1996 Through May 3, 1996 pursuant to the Environmental Review Process (ERP), under Section 309 of the Clean Air Act and Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act as amended. Requests for copies of EPA comments can be directed to the OFFICE OF Federal Activities at (202) 564-7167.

An explanation of the ratings assigned to draft environmental impact statements (EISs) was published in FR dated April 05, 1996 (61 FR 15251).

Draft EISs

ERP No. D-BLM-K67033-NV Rating EO2, Lone Tree Gold Mine Expansion Project, Plan of Operations Approval and Permit Issuance, Winnemucca District, Humboldt County, NV.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental objections due to potential to degrade groundwater, impacts to wildlife, and uncertainties regarding acid generation. EPA requested additional information on impacts to water quality and habitat in the lower Humboldt River basin and local springs; geochemical characterization; facility design; reclamation, hydrogeologic and geochemical modelling, monitoring; and mitigation.

ERP No. D-DOE-A06178-00 Rating EC2, Programmatic EIS-Stockpile Stewardship and Management Project, Reduced Nuclear Weapons Stockpile in the Absence of Underground Testing, Eight Sites: Oak Ridge Reservation (ORR), Savannah River Site (SRS), Kansas City Plant (KCP) Pantex Plant, Los Alamos Nat'l Lab., Lawrence Livermore Nat'l Lab., Sandia Nat'l and Nevada Test.

Summary: EPA requested that DOE provide additional information and clarity concerning accident risk analysis and environmental justice.

ERP No. D-FHW-J40138-UT Rating EC2, Norman H. Bangerter Highway (Previously Known as the West Valley Highway) 12600 South Street to I-15, Funding and COE Section 404 Permit, in the Cities of Bluffdale, Riverton and Draper, Salt Lake County, UT.

Summary: EPA expressed environmental concerns regarding the extent of wetland impacts, hazardous material spills and the location and effects of borrow. EPA requested that additional information on these issues be included.