(ii) 10 percent for fresh prunes which fail to meet the minimum diameter requirement;

(iii) 10 percent for fresh prunes which fail to meet the remaining requirements of the grade: Provided, That not more than one-half of this amount, or 5 percent, shall be allowed for defects causing serious damage, including in the latter amount not more than 1 percent for decay.

(2) [Reserved]

(b) The importation of any individual shipment which, in the aggregate, does not exceed 500 pounds net weight, of fresh prunes of the Stanley or Merton varieties, or 350 pounds net weight, of fresh prunes of any variety other than the Stanley or Merton varieties, is exempt from the requirements specified in this section.

(c) The grade, size and quality requirements of this section shall not be applicable to fresh prunes imported for consumption by charitable institutions, distribution by relief agencies, or commercial processing into products, but such prunes shall be subject to the safeguard provisions in § 944.350.

(d) The term *U.S. No. 1* shall have the same meaning as when used in the United States Standards for Grades of Fresh Plums and Prunes (7 CFR 51.1520 through 51.1538); the term "purplish color" shall have the same meaning as when used in the Washington State Department of Agriculture Standards for Italian Prunes (April 28, 1978), and the Oregon State Department of Agriculture Standards for Italian Prunes (October 5, 1977); the term "diameter" means the greatest dimension measured at right angles to a line from the stem to the blossom end of the fruit.

(e) The term *Prunes* means all varieties of plums, classified botanically as Prunus domestica, except those of the President variety.

(f) The term *importation* means release from custody of the United States Customs Service.

(g) Inspection and certification service is required for imports and will be available in accordance with the regulation designating inspection services and procedure for obtaining inspection and certification (7 CFR 944.400).

(h) Any lot or portion thereof which fails to meet the import requirements, and is not being imported for purposes of consumption by charitable institutions, distribution by relief agencies, or commercial processing into products, prior to or after reconditioning may be exported or disposed of under the supervision of the Federal or Federal-State Inspection Service with the costs of certifying the disposal of

such fresh prunes borne by the importer.

(i) It is determined that fresh prunes imported into the United States shall meet the same minimum grade, size and quality requirements as those established for fresh prunes under Marketing Order No. 924 (7 CFR part 924).

Dated: May 2, 1996. Eric M. Forman,

Deputy Director, Fruit and Vegetable Division. [FR Doc. 96–11459 Filed 5–7–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3410–02–P

7 CFR Part 1160

[DA-96-07]

Fluid Milk Promotion Order; Invitation To Submit Comments on Proposed Amendments to the Order

AGENCY: Agricultural Marketing Service, USDA.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

May 15, 1996.

SUMMARY: This document invites written comments on a proposal to amend the Fluid Milk Promotion Order to modify the term of the chairperson of the National Fluid Milk Processor Promotion Board. The proposal was submitted by the National Fluid Milk Processor Promotion Board which contends the action is necessary to enable it to operate more effectively.

DATES: Comments are due no later than

ADDRESSES: Comments (two copies) should be filed with the USDA/AMS/Dairy Division, Promotion and Research Staff, Room 2734, South Building, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090–6456.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Eugene E. Krueger, Head, Promotion and Research Staff, USDA/AMS/Dairy Division, Room 2734, South Building, P.O. Box 96456, Washington, DC 20090– 6456, (202) 720–6909.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. 601–612) requires the Agency to examine the impact of a proposed rule on small entities. Pursuant to 5 U.S.C. 605(b), the Agricultural Marketing Service has certified that this rule would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. The proposed amendment would modify the term of the chairperson of the National Fluid Milk Processor Promotion Board and would not have an economic effect on any entity engaged in the dairy industry.

The Department is issuing this proposed rule in conformance with Executive Order 12866.

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform. This rule is not intended to have a retroactive effect. This proposed rule would not preempt any state or local laws, regulations, or policies unless they present an irreconcilable conflict with this rule.

The Fluid Milk Promotion Act of 1990, as amended, authorizes the Fluid Milk Promotion Order. The Act provides that administrative proceedings must be exhausted before parties may file suit in court. Under section 1999K of the Act, any person subject to a Fluid Milk Promotion Order may file with the Secretary a petition stating that the order, any provision of the order, or any obligation imposed in connection with the order is not in accordance with the law and request a modification of the order or to be exempted from the order. A person subject to an order is afforded the opportunity for a hearing on the petition. After a hearing, the Secretary would rule on the petition. The Act provides that the district court of the United States in any district in which the person is an inhabitant, or has his principal place of business, has jurisdiction to review the Secretary's ruling of the petition, provided a complaint is filed not later than 20 days after the date of the entry of the ruling.

In accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35), the forms and reporting and recordkeeping requirements that are included in the Fluid Milk Promotion Order have been approved by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) and were assigned OMB No. 0581–0093, except for Board members' nominee information sheets that were assigned OMB No. 0505–0001.

Statement of Consideration

Section 1160.209(a) of the Fluid Milk Promotion Order currently provides that the National Fluid Milk Processor Promotion Board meet at least once a year and elect from among its members a chairperson to serve a term of one year and not more than two consecutive terms. The proposed amendment would modify, from one year to a fiscal period, the term of the chairperson and provide that such chairperson may serve not more than two consecutive fiscal periods.

Currently, a term of office for the chairperson of the National Fluid Milk Processor Promotion Board is based on an annual period, which expires on July 27, 1996, rather than a fiscal period. The

Board contends that the proposed amendment will provide continuity between fiscal periods and the terms of office of the chairperson. The Board indicates that this will allow the Board to operate more effectively.

A 7-day comment period is deemed appropriate to permit implementation of this amendment, if adopted, before the annual meeting of the Board that is tentatively scheduled for the beginning of July 1996.

List of Subjects in 7 CFR Part 1160

Milk, Fluid milk products, Promotion. For the reasons set forth in the preamble, it is proposed that 7 CFR part 1160, is amended as follows:

PART 1160—FLUID MILK PROMOTION ORDER

- 1. The authority citation for 7 CFR Part 1160 continues to read as follows: Authority: 7 U.S.C. 6401–6417.
- 2. Section 1160.209(a) is revised to read as follows:

§1160.209 Duties of the Board.

The Board shall have the following duties:

(a) To meet not less than annually, and to organize and select from among its members a chairperson, who may serve for a term of a fiscal period pursuant to § 1160.113, and not more than two consecutive terms, and to select such other officers as may be necessary;

*

Dated: May 2, 1996.

Lon Hatamiya, Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-11458 Filed 5-7-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3410-02-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 29

[Docket No. 96-ASW-2; Notice No. SC-96-2-SW]

Special Condition: Sikorsky Model S76C, High Intensity Radiated Fields

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed special

condition.

SUMMARY: This notice proposes a special condition for the Sikorsky Model S76C helicopter. This helicopter will have a novel or unusual design feature associated with the installation of electronic systems that perform critical

functions. The applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for the protection of electronic systems that perform critical functions from the effects of external high intensity radiated fields (HIRF). This notice contains the additional safety standards that the Administrator considers necessary to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established by the applicable airworthiness standards.

DATES: Comments must be received on or before June 7, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments on this proposal may be mailed in duplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, Attn: Rules Docket No. 96–ASW–2, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0007, or delivered in duplicate to the Office of the Assistant Chief Counsel, 2601 Meacham Blvd., Fort Worth, Texas. Comments must be marked Docket No. 96–ASW–2. Comments may be inspected in the Rules Docket weekdays, except Federal holidays, between 9 a.m. and 3 p.m.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Mr. Robert McCallister, FAA, Rotorcraft Directorate, Regulations Group, Fort Worth, Texas 76193–0110; telephone (817) 222–5121.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of this proposed special condition by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications should identify the regulatory docket number and be submitted in duplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments will be considered before taking action on this proposal. The special condition proposed in this notice may be changed in light of comments received. All comments received will be available in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons, both before and after the closing date for comments. A report summarizing each substantive public contact with FAA personnel concerning this rulemaking will be filed in the docket. Persons wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit with those comments a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket No. 96–ASW–2." The postcard will be date and time stamped and returned to the commenter.

Background

Sikorsky Aircraft Corporation, Stratford, Connecticut, applied for an amendment to the Type Certificate for Model S76C helicopter on August 15, 1990. The amendment will allow installation of Turbomeca Arriel Model 2S1 engines with FADEC control and 30 second/2 minute ratings as alternate engines for the Sikorsky Model S76C helicopter. This is a 12 (14 including crew) passenger, twin engine, 11,700 pound transport category helicopter.

Type Certificate Basis

The type certification basis is 14 Code of Federal Regulations part 29, February 1, 1965, and Amendments 29-1 through 29-11; in addition, portions of Amendment 29-12, specifically, §§ 29.67, 29.71, 29.75, 29.141, 29.173, 29.175, 29.931, 29.1189(a)(2), 29.1555(c)(2), 29.1557(c); Amendment 29-13, specifically § 29.965; Amendment 29-24, specifically § 29.1325; Amendment 29-30 specifically § 29.811; Amendment 29-34, specifically §§ 29.67(a)(1)(i), 29.923(a), (b) (1) & (3), 29.1143(f), 29.1305(a) (24) & (25), 29.1521 (i) & (j) and 29.1549(e); and Amendment 36-14 of 14 CFR part 36, Appendix H.

If the Administrator finds that the applicable airworthiness regulations do not contain adequate or appropriate safety standards for these helicopters because of a novel or unusual design feature, special conditions are prescribed under the provisions of § 21.16 to establish a level of safety equivalent to that established in the regulations.

Special conditions, as appropriate, are issued in accordance with Federal Aviation Administration § 11.49 after public notice, as required by §§ 11.28 and 11.29(b), and become part of the type certification basis in accordance with Federal Aviation Administration 21.101(b)(2).

Special conditions are initially applicable to the model for which they are issued. Should the type certificate for that model be amended later to include any other model that incorporates the same novel or unusual design feature, or should any other model already included on the same type certificate be modified to incorporate the same novel or unusual design feature, the special conditions would also apply to the other model under the provisions of § 21.101(a)(1).

Discussion

The Sikorsky Model S76C helicopter, at the time of the application for amendment to U.S. Type Certificate