a reliable benchmark for U.S. antidumping purposes. This is supported by the Department's determination in *Certain Hot-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, Certain Cold-Rolled Carbon Steel Flat Products, and Certain Corrosion-Resistant Carbon Steel Flat Products from Japan,* 58 FR 37154, 37158 (July 9, 1993) ("There is no requirement that U.S. antidumping practice conform to Japanese antitrust laws or practices which have entirely different purposes and standards").

Therefore, because the standard established by Belgian law is not sufficiently similar to that established by the Department, as evidenced by the substantial difference between Prayon's discount rate and the Department's date of payment method, we cannot rely on Prayon's compliance with that law as evidence that the rate charged by Prayon Services to Prayon is at arm's-length.²

Accordingly, for these final results, the Department, when determining credit expense incurred by Prayon on its home market sales, has relied upon Prayon's reported credit expense based upon the date of payment by Prayon's customer to Prayon Services.

Final Results of Review

Based on our analysis of the comments received, and our changes to the final computer program, we have determined, as we did in the preliminary determination, that no antidumping margin exists for Prayon for the period August 1, 1993 to July 31, 1994. The Department will issue appraisement instructions directly to the U.S. Customs Service.

Furthermore, the following deposit requirements will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise, entered or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of these final results of administrative review, as provided by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) the cash deposit rate for Prayon will be zero percent; (2) for merchandise exported by manufacturers or exporters not covered in this review but covered in a previous review or the original less-than-fairvalue (LTFV) investigation, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the rate published in the most recent final results or determination for which the manufacturer or exporter received a company-specific rate; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in this review, earlier reviews, or the original

investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be that established for the manufacturer of the merchandise in these final results of review, earlier reviews, or the original investigation, whichever is the most recent; and (4) the "all others" rate, as established in the original investigation, will be 14.67 percent.

These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26 to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during this review period. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This notice also serves as a reminder to parties subject to administrative protective orders (APOs) of their responsibility concerning the disposition of proprietary information disclosed under APO in accordance with 19 CFR 353.34(d). Timely written notification of return/destruction of APO materials or conversion to judicial protective order is hereby requested. Failure to comply with the regulations and the terms of an APO is a sanctionable violation.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675(a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: April 26, 1996.
Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import
Administration.
[FR Doc. 96–11117 Filed 5–3–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P

[A-508-604]

Industrial Phosphoric Acid From Israel; Final Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of final results of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On February 8, 1996, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published the preliminary results of administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial

phosphoric acid from Israel (61 FR 4766). The review covers one exporter, Haifa Chemicals, Ltd. (Haifa), and the period August 1, 1994 through July 31, 1995.

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results of review. Because the Department received no comments, these final results of review remain unchanged from the preliminary results of review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 6, 1996.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Amy S. Wei or Zev Primor, Office of
Antidumping Compliance, Import
Administration, International Trade
Administration, U.S. Department of
Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution
Avenue NW., Washington, DC 20230;
telephone (202) 482–5253.

The Applicable Statute and Regulations

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act), by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA). In addition, unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the Department—s regulations are to the current regulations, as amended by the interim regulations published in the Federal Register on May 11, 1995 (60 FR 25130).

Background

On August 25, 1995, FMC Corporation and Monsanto Company, two domestic producers of industrial phosphoric acid, requested an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial phosphoric acid from Israel. On September 15, 1995, the Department published the initiation of its administrative review of the antidumping duty order on industrial phosphoric acid from Israel, covering one exporter, Haifa, and the period August 1, 1994 through July 31, 1995 (60 FR 47930). On

February 8, 1996, the Department published the preliminary results of review. In the preliminary results of review, the Department preliminarily determined that there were no shipments of the subject merchandise during the period of review and assigned Haifa the rate applicable to it from its most recent administrative review. The Department has now completed this administrative review in accordance with section 751 of the Act.

Scope of the Review

Imports covered by the review are shipments of industrial phosphoric acid, classifiable under item number

²Indeed, a review of the translated official certification letter and royal decree recognizing Prayon Services (submitted as Appendix 6 of Prayon's supplemental questionnaire of April 27, 1995) indicates that there are allowable exceptions to the arm's-length requirements.

2809.20.00 of the Harmonized Tariff Schedule (HTS). HTS item numbers are provided for convenience and for Customs purposes. The written description remains dispositive.

Final Results of Review

We gave interested parties an opportunity to comment on the preliminary results. Because the Department received no comments, we have not changed the rate from the preliminary results. Accordingly, the following deposit requirement will be effective for all shipments of the subject merchandise entered, or withdrawn from warehouse, for consumption on or after the publication date of the final results of this administrative review, as provided for by section 751(a)(1) of the Act: (1) The cash deposit rate for Haifa will be 6.82 percent; (2) for previously reviewed or investigated companies not listed above, the cash deposit rate will continue to be the company-specific rate published for the most recent period; (3) if the exporter is not a firm covered in any review or the original less-than-fairvalue (LTFV) investigation, but the manufacturer is, the cash deposit rate will be the rate established for the most recent period for the manufacturer of the merchandise; (4) for all other producers and/or exporters of this merchandise, the cash deposit rate shall be 1.77 percent, the —all others— rate from the LTFV investigation. These deposit requirements, when imposed, shall remain in effect until publication of the final results of the next administrative review.

This notice also serves as a final reminder to importers of their responsibility under 19 CFR 353.26(b) to file a certificate regarding the reimbursement of antidumping duties prior to liquidation of the relevant entries during these review periods. Failure to comply with this requirement could result in the Secretary's presumption that reimbursement of antidumping duties occurred and the subsequent assessment of double antidumping duties.

This administrative review and notice are in accordance with section 751(a)(1) of the Act (19 U.S.C. 1675 (a)(1)) and 19 CFR 353.22.

Dated: April 26, 1996. Susan G. Esserman, Assistant Secretary for Import

Administration. [FR Doc. 96–11126 Filed 5–3–96; 8:45 am]

[FR Doc. 96–11126 Fi BILLING CODE 3510–DS–P [A-475-059]

Pressure Sensitive Plastic Tape From Italy; Termination of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of termination of antidumping duty administrative review.

SUMMARY: On November 16, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published in the Federal Register (60 FR 57573) the notice of initiation of the administrative review of the antidumping duty finding on pressure sensitive plastic tape from Italy. We are terminating this review as a result of the timely withdrawal by the petitioner, Minnesota Mining and Manufacturing Company (3M) of its request for the review.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 6, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Todd Peterson, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue NW., Washington, D.C. 20230, telephone: (202) 482–4195.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

On October 30, 1995, 3M requested an administrative review for 3M Italia S.p.A. of the antidumping duty finding on pressure sensitive plastic tape from Italy for the period October 1, 1994, through September 30, 1995, pursuant to 19 CFR 353.22(a)(2). On November 16, 1995, the Department published in the Federal Register (60 FR 57573) the notice of initiation of that administrative review. 3M timely withdrew its request for a review on February 5, 1996, pursuant to 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5). As a result, the Department is terminating this review.

This notice is published in accordance with section 751 of the Tariff Act of 1930, as amended (19 U.S.C. 1675) and 19 CFR 353.22(a)(5).

Dated: March 21, 1996.

Joseph A. Spetrini,

Deputy Assistant Secretary for Compliance.

[FR Doc. 96–11125 Filed 5–3–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510–DS–M

[A-538-802]

Shop Towels From Bangladesh; Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Notice of Preliminary Results of Antidumping Duty Administrative Review.

SUMMARY: In response to a request from the petitioner, Milliken & Company, the Department of Commerce is conducting an administrative review of the antidumping duty order on shop towels from Bangladesh. The review period is March 1, 1994, through February 28, 1995. This review covers six manufacturers/exporters. The preliminary results of this review indicate the existence of dumping margins for several manufacturers/exporters during the period.

Interested parties are invited to comment on these preliminary results. Parties who submit arguments are requested to submit with each argument (1) a statement of the issue and (2) a brief summary of the argument.

EFFECTIVE DATE: May 6, 1996.
FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:
Davina Hashmi or Michael Rill, Office of Antidumping Compliance, Import Administration, International Trade Administration, U.S. Department of

Commerce, 14th Street and Constitution Avenue, Washington, D.C. 20230; telephone (202) 482–4733.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Applicable Statute

Unless otherwise indicated, all citations to the statute are references to the provisions effective January 1, 1995, the effective date of the amendments made to the Tariff Act of 1930 (the Act) by the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (URAA).

Background

On March 7, 1995, the Department of Commerce (the Department) published a notice of "Opportunity to Request an Administrative Review" (60 FR 12540) of the antidumping duty order on shop towels from Bangladesh (57 FR 9688, March 20, 1992) for the period March 1, 1994, through February 28, 1995. On March 27, 1995, the petitioner, Milliken & Company (Milliken), requested an administrative review of six manufacturers/exporters: Eagle Star Mills, Ltd. (Eagle Star); Greyfab (Bangladesh) Ltd. (Greyfab); Hashem International (Hashem); Khaled Textile Mills Ltd. (Khaled); Shabnam Textiles