failure to determine the source of funds required for closing; and failure to give full credit for an earnest money deposit.

20. Davis-Penn Mortgage Company; Houston, Texas

Action: Settlement Agreement that includes: payment to the Department of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$8,000; and submission of acceptable rental use agreement with respect to two multifamily projects.

Cause: Violation of HUD-FHA multifamily mortgage insurance program requirements resulting from improperly accepting payment in full of two multifamily project mortgages without obtaining the prior approval of HUD-FHA.

21. MP Inc. d/b/a Mortgage Professionals; Irvine, California

Action: Settlement Agreement that would include: payment of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$2,000; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD–FHA requirements.

Cause: Use of false and misleading advertising in connection with the HUD-FHA Title I program.

22. Mortgage America Nationwide; Grand Terrace, California

Action: Settlement Agreement that includes: indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with two improperly originated loans; payment of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$500; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD–FHA requirements.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that disclosed violations of HUD-FHA requirements including: failure to implement and maintain an adequate Quality Control Plan; approval of an ineligible borrower for an insured loan; failure to properly verify the source and/or adequacy of the funds to close; originating a loan that exceeded HUD-FHA maximum mortgage amount; and failure to accurately reflect all charges to the buyers and sellers on the HUD-1 Settlement Statement.

23. Statewide Mortgage Company; Houston, Texas

Action: Proposed Settlement Agreement that would include: indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with 35 improperly originated Title I property improvement loans; corrective action to assure compliance with HUD–FHA requirements; and a future review by an independent CPA to determine compliance by the company's Bellevue, Washington branch office with HUD-FHA Title I program requirements.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that disclosed violations of HUD–FHA Title I program requirements including: failure to conduct a face-to-face or telephone interview with the borrowers; approving loan applicants based on alleged false leases; failure to present the Title I loan proceeds directly to the borrowers; alleged falsified property inspection reports; failure to establish required equity; and reporting loans for insurance that contained inaccurate information.

24. Alliance Mortgage Corporation; Villa Park, Illinois

Action: Proposed Settlement Agreement that would include: indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with six improperly originated loans; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD-FHA requirements.

Cause: A HUD Office of Inspector General audit report that disclosed violations of HUD–FHA requirements including: failure to conduct face-to-face interviews with mortgagors; failure to properly verify borrowers' gift funds; failure to properly verify borrower's income; understating a borrower's liabilities; and failure to maintain an adequate Quality Control Plan.

25. American City Mortgage Corporation; Carson, California

Action: Settlement Agreement that includes: indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with 10 improperly originated loans; payment of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$7,000; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD–FHA requirements.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that disclosed violations of HUD-FHA requirements including: submitting loans involving "strawbuyers" to HUD-FHA for mortgage insurance; using alleged false information in originating HUD-FHA insured mortgages; failure to conduct face-to-face interviews with mortgagors; failure to document borrower's source of funds used for downpayment and closing costs; deleting a mortgagor from the title in a Rate Reduction Refinance transaction; submitting a defaulted loan to HUD-FHA for mortgage insurance endorsement; submitting loans to HUD-FHA for insurance endorsement that were overinsured; failure to properly implement a Quality Control Plan; failure to properly underwrite loans submitted by Loan Correspondents; and closing loans submitted by Loan

Correspondents in the company's own name.

26. Home Federal Savings Bank; Cleveland, Ohio

Action: Proposed Settlement Agreement that would include indemnification to the Department for any claim losses in connection with 21 improperly originated loans.

Cause: Violation of HUD–FHA requirements by a former employee that included failure to perform face-to-face interviews with borrowers; and submission of false information to the Department.

27. Western States Mortgage Corporation; Bellevue, Washington

Action: Settlement Agreement that includes: payment of a civil money penalty in the amount of \$500; and corrective action to assure compliance with HUD-FHA requirements.

Cause: A HUD monitoring review that disclosed violations of HUD-FHA requirements including: failure to implement and maintain an adequate Quality Control Plan; failure to comply with HUD-FHA reporting requirements under the Home Mortgage Disclosure Act (HMDA); sharing office space and commingling employees with another firm; failure to comply with disclosure requirements under the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act (RESPA); annual audit report not in compliance; originating a loan that exceeded the HUD-FHA maximum mortgage amount; failure to maintain complete mortgage origination files; and failure to utilize proper gift letters.

Dated: April 26, 1996.
Nicolas P. Retsinas,
Assistant Secretary for Housing-Federal
Housing Commissioner.
[FR Doc. 96–10845 Filed 5–1–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 4210–27–P

DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR

Bureau of Land Management

[NV-050-1020-001]

Mojave-Southern Great Basin Resource Advisory Council—Notice of Meeting Locations and Times

ACTION: Resource Advisory Council meeting locations and times.

SUMMARY: In accordance with the Federal Land Policy and Management Act and the Federal Advisory Committee Act of 1972 (FACA), 5 U.S.C., the Department of the Interior,

Bureau of Land Management (BLM), council meeting of the Mojave-Southern Great Basin Resource Advisory Council will be held as indicated below. The agenda includes a public comment period, discussion of laws and regulations that pertain to grazing, and an update of standards and guidelines.

All meetings are open to the public. The public may present written comments to the council. Each formal council meeting will have a time allocated for hearing public comments. The public comment period for the council meeting is listed below. Depending on the number of persons wishing to comment, and time available, the time for individual oral comments may be limited. Individuals who plan to attend and need further information about the meetings, or need special assistance such as sign language interpretation or other reasonable accommodations, should contact Michael Dwyer at the Las Vegas District Office, 4765 Vegas Dr., Las Vegas, NV 89108, telephone, (702) 647-5000. DATES, TIMES: Dates are May 9 and 10. 1996. The council will meet at the Las Vegas District Office, located at 4765 Vegas Drive, Las Vegas, NV 89108, from 8:30 a.m. to approximately 4:30 p.m. The public comment period will begin

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The purpose of the council is to advise the Secretary of the Interior, through the BLM, on a variety of planning and management issues associated with the management of the public lands.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lorraine Buck, Public Affairs Specialist, Las Vegas District, telephone: (702) 647– 5000.

Dated: April 22, 1996. Michael F. Dwyer, *District Manager.*

at 2 p.m. on May 9.

[FR Doc. 96–10937 Filed 5–1–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-HC-M

[AZ-015-96-1430-01; AZA-29525]

Recreation and Public Purposes Act Classification, Arizona; Correction

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management, Interior.

ACTION: Notice of correction.

SUMMARY: Applicable to notice 96–8528 published in the Federal Register Monday, April 8, 1996, Volume 61, Page 15512, make corrections as follows. In the legal description, change T. 40 N. to T. 42 N.

DATES: The comment period end date should be changed from May 20, 1996, to May 23, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Laurie Ford, Vermillion Resource Area Realty Specialist, 345 E. Riverside Drive, St. George, UT 84790; phone (801) 628–4491, ext. 271.

Roger G. Taylor,

Arizona Strip District Manager.

[FR Doc. 96-10939 Filed 5-1-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-32-M

[NV-930-1430-01; N-60478]

Notice of Realty Action: Non-Competitive Sale of Public Lands

AGENCY: Bureau of Land Management. **ACTION:** Non-competitive sale of public lands in Clark County, NV.

SUMMARY: The following described public land in Las Vegas, Clark County, Nevada has been examined and found suitable for sale utilizing noncompetitive procedures, at not less than the fair market value. Authority for the sale is Section 203 and Section 209 of the Federal Land Policy and Management Act of 1976 (FLPMA).

Mount Diablo Meridian, Nevada

T. 22 S., R. 61 E., sec. 20, NW¹/4NE¹/4NE¹/4 SE¹/4, S¹/2NE¹/4NE¹/4SE¹/4, NW¹/4NE¹/4 SE¹/4, S¹/2NE¹/4SE¹/4, and NW¹/4SE¹/4, Containing 77.5 acres, more or less.

This parcel of land, situated in Las Vegas, Nevada is being offered as a direct sale to Clark County Department of Aviation. This land is not required for any federal purposes. The sale is consistent with current Bureau planning for this area and would be in the public interest.

In the event of a sale, conveyance of the available mineral interests will occur simultaneously with the sale of the land. The mineral interests being offered for conveyance have no known mineral value. Acceptance of a direct sale offer will constitute an application for conveyance of those mineral interests. The applicant will be required to pay a \$50.00 nonreturnable filing fee for conveyance of the available mineral interests.

The patent, when issued, will contain the following reservations to the United States:

- 1. A right-of-way thereon for ditches and canals constructed by the authority of the United States, Act of August 30, 1890 (43 U.S.C. 945).
- 2. Oil, gas, sodium, potassium and saleable minerals; and will be subject to an easement for roads, public utilities and flood control purposes in accordance with the transportation plan for Clark County/the City of Las Vegas; and subject to:
- 1. Those rights for roadway purposes which have been granted to Nevada Department of Transportation by Permit Nos. CC–020584 under the Act of November 11, 1921 (42 Stat. 261), and Nev–055091, under the Act of August 27, 1958, (23 U.S.C. 317).
- 2. Those rights for roadway purposes which have been granted to Clark County by

Permit No. N-55134 under the Act of October 21, 1976 (243 U.S.C. 1761).

3. Those right for telephone line purposes which have been granted to Sprint Telephone Company by Permit No. N–47888 under the Act of October 21, 1976 (43 U.S.C. 1761).

Upon publication of this notice in the Federal Register, the above described land will be segregated from all other forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the general mining laws, except for sales and disposals under the mineral disposal laws. This segregation will terminate upon issuance of a patent or 270 days from the date of this publication, whichever occurs first.

For a period of 45 days from the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register, interested parties may submit comments to the District Manager, Las Vegas District, 4765 Vegas Dr., Las Vegas, Nevada 89108. Any adverse comments will be reviewed by the State Director who may sustain, vacate, or modify this realty action. In the absence of any adverse comments, this realty action will become the final determination of the Department of the Interior. The Bureau of Land Management may accept or reject any or all offers, or withdraw any land or interest in the land from sale, if, in the opinion of the authorized officer, consummation of the sale would not be fully consistent with FLPMA, or other applicable laws. The lands will not be offered for sale until at least 60 days after the date of publication of this notice in the Federal Register.

Dated: April 19, 1996.

Gary Ryan,

Acting District Manager, Las Vegas, NV. [FR Doc. 96–10863 Filed 5–1–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–HC–P

National Park Service

National Historic Landmarks Survey; Notification of Pending Nomination

A nomination for National Historic Landmark designation for the EDWARD M. COTTER, Buffalo, New York, was received by the National Park Service before April 12, 1996. Pursuant to Section 65.5 of 36 CFR Part 65, written comments concerning the significance of this property under the National Historic Landmarks criteria for evaluation may be forwarded to the National Historic Landmarks Survey, National Park Service, P.O. Box 37127, Suite 310, Washington, D.C. 20013-7127. Written comments should be submitted by [15 days after publication]. After this date, the nomination will be forwarded to the Secretary of the Interior for designation as a National Historic Landmark.

Rowland Bowers,

Assistant Director, Cultural Resources Stewardship and Partnership Programs. [FR Doc. 96–10962 Filed 5–1–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4310–70–P