Toxicology Testing of HFA–134a ("IMPACT–I") has filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing the addition of a new member. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, Astra AB, Sodertalje, Sweden, became a new member of IPACT–I on February 2, 1996.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or planned activity of IPACT-I. Membership in this ground research project remains open, and IPACT-I intends to file additional written notification disclosing all changes in membership.

On August 7, 1990, IPACT–I filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on September 6, 1990 (55 FR 36710).

The last notification was filed with the Department on May 25, 1995. A notice has not yet been published in the Federal Register.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 96–10481 Filed 4–26–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Joint Venture for Development and Manufacture of Glass Panels and Funnels for Use in Cathode Ray Tubes

Notice is hereby given that, on July 12, 1995, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), Sony Electronics Inc. ("Sony"), for itself and on behalf of the parties identified below, filed notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and the Federal Trade Commission disclosing (1) the identities of the parties and (2) the nature and objectives of a cooperative research and production venture. The notifications were filed for the purpose of invoking the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act, the identities of the parties are: Sony Electronics Inc., Park Ridge, NJ, owned by Sony Corporation, Tokyo, JAPAN; Corning Inc., Corning, NY; Asahi Glass America, Inc., New York, NY, owned by Asahi Glass Company, Ltd., Tokyo, JAPAN; Corning Asahi Corporation,

Corning, NY, owned by Corning Inc. and Asahi Glass America, Inc.; American Video Glass Company, Mount Pleasant, PA, owned by Sony Electronics Inc. and Corning Asahi Corporation; and Corning Asahi Video Products Company, Corning, NY, owned by Corning Inc. and Asahi Glass America, Inc.

The area of planned activity is cooperation in the exchange of information concerning, and the development and manufacture of, glass panels and funnels for use in cathode ray tubes.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division. [FR Doc. 96–10480 Filed 4–26–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Notice Pursuant to the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993—Petroleum Environmental Research Forum

Notice is hereby given that, on April 9, 1996, pursuant to Section 6(a) of the National Cooperative Research and Production Act of 1993, 15 U.S.C. 4301 et seq. ("the Act"), the participants in the Petroleum Environmental Research Forum ("PERF") Project No. 95-02, titled "Basic Principles and Control of Crude Oil Emulsion Formation-Part 3," have filed written notifications simultaneously with the Attorney General and with the Federal Trade Commission disclosing a change in project membership. The notifications were filed for the purpose of extending the Act's provisions limiting the recovery of antitrust plaintiffs to actual damages under specified circumstances. Specifically, the following parties have become members in the PERF Project: Marathon Oil Company, Littleton, CO; Mobil Technology Company, Paulsboro, NJ; and Texaco, Inc., Houston, TX.

No other changes have been made in either the membership or the planned activities of the Project. Membership remains open, and the participants intend to file additional notifications(s) disclosing all changes in membership in this Project.

On November 30, 1995, PERF Project No. 95–02 filed its original notification pursuant to Section 6(a) of the Act. The Department of Justice published a notice in the Federal Register pursuant to Section 6(b) of the Act on January 31, 1996 (61 FR 3464).

Information regarding participation in PERF Project No. 95–02 may be obtained from Ms. Catherine Peddie,

Shell Oil Products Company, Houston, TX.

Constance K. Robinson,

Director of Operations, Antitrust Division.

[FR Doc. 96–10482 Filed 4–26–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4410–01–M

Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

Agency Information Collection Activities: Proposed Collection; Comment Request

ACTION: Notice of Information Collection Under Review; Juveniles Taken Into Custody Reporting Program.

The proposed information collection is published to obtain comments from the public and affected agencies. Comments are encouraged and will be accepted for 60 days from the date listed at the top of this page in the Federal Register.

Request written comments and suggestions from the public and affected agencies concerning the proposed collection of information. Your comments should address one or more of the following four points:

(1) Evaluate whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information will have practical utility;

(2) evaluate the accuracy of the agencies estimate of the burden of the proposed collection of information, including the validity of the methodology and assumptions used;

(3) enhance the quality, utility, and clarity of the information to be collected; and

(4) minimize the burden of the collection of information on those who are to respond, including through the use of appropriate automated, electronic, mechanical, or other technological collection techniques or other forms of information technology, e.g., permitting electronic submission of responses.

Comments and/or suggestions regarding the item(s) contained in this notice, especially regarding the estimated public burden and associated response time should be directed to Joseph Moone (phone number and address listed below). If you have additional comments, suggestions, or need a copy of the proposed information collection instrument with instructions, or additional information, please contact Joseph Moone, 202–397–5929, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of

Justice Programs, U.S. Department of Justice, Room 782, 633 Indiana Avenue, NW, Washington, DC 20531.

Overview of this information collection:

(1) Type of Information Collection: Reinstatement, without change, of a previously approved collection for which approval has expired.

(2) Title of the Form Collection: Juveniles Taken Into Custody Reporting

Program

(3) Agency form numbers, if any, and the applicable component of the Department of Justice sponsoring the collection: Forms JTIC-1A, jtic-1b, JTIC-1C. Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, Office of Justice Programs, United States Department of Justice.

(4) Affected public who will be asked to required to respond, as well as a brief abstract: Primary: State and Local governments. Other: None. To enumerate and describe annual movements of juvenile offenders through state correctional systems. It will be used by the Department of Justice for planning and policy affecting states. Providers of data are personnel in state departments of corrections and juvenile services.

(5) An estimate of the total number of respondents and the amount of time estimated for an average respondent to respond: 51 respondents with an average 12 hours per respondent.

(6) An estimate of the total public burden (in hours) associated with the collection: 628 annual burden hours.

If additional information is required contact: Mr. Robert B. Briggs, Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice, Information Management and Security Staff, Justice Management Division, Suite 850, Washington Center, 1001 G Street, NW, Washington, DC 20530.

Dated: April 24, 1996.

Robert B. Briggs,

Department Clearance Officer, United States Department of Justice.

[FR Doc. 96–10472 Filed 4–26–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–M

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Employment and Training Administration

Notice of Determinations Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance and NAFTA Transitional Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, the Department of Labor herein presents summaries of determinations regarding eligibility to apply for trade adjustment assistance for workers (TA–W) issued during the period of April, 1996.

In order for an affirmative determination to be made and a certification of eligibility to apply for worker adjustment assistance to be issued, each of the group eligibility requirements of Section 222 of the Act must be met.

(1) that a significant number or proportion of the workers in the workers' firm, or an appropriate subdivision thereof, have become totally or partially separated,

(2) that sales or production, or both, of the firm or subdivision have decreased absolutely, and

(3) that increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have contributed importantly to the separations, or threat thereof, and to the absolute decline in sales or production.

Negative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In each of the following cases the investigation revealed that criterion (3) has not been met. A survey of customers indicated that increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-31,879; Rayloc, Atlanta, GA TA-W-32,025; Winona Knitting Mills, Berwick Knitwear (Formerly Komar & Sons Berwick Knitwear), Berwick, PA

TA-W-31,975; Modine Manufacturing Co., Clinton, TX

TA-W-31,899; Marion Plywood Corp., Coreline Div., Shawano. WI

In the following cases, the investigation revealed that the criteria for eligibility have not been met for the reasons specified.

TA-W-31,993; Aeroil Products Co., Inc., South Hackensack, NJ

TA-W-32,118; James River Corp. Packaging Business, Wausau, WI TA-W-31,995; ABC Rail Products Corp., Anderson, IN

Increased imports did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the firm.

TA-W-32,065 & A, B, C, D; Ames Department Stores, Inc., Skowhagen, Caribou, Houlton, Madawaska & Presque Island, ME TA-W-31,889; Kids Today, Ltd, New

York, NY TA-W-32,067; Segerman International, Inc., New York, NY

The workers' firm does not produce an article as required for certification under Section 222 of the Trade Act of 1974. TA-W-31843; Pauline Handbags, New York, NY

The investigation revealed that criterion (1) and criterion (2) have not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated as required for certification. Sales or production did not decine during the relevant period as required for certification.

TA-W-31,928; Hobet Mining, Inc., Madison. WV

U.S. imports of coal are negligible through the relevant period.

TA-W-31,942; Carter-Wallace, Inc, Trenton. NJ

The investigation revealed that criterion (2) and criterion (3) have not been met. Sales or production did not decline during the relevant period as required for certification. Increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have not contributed importantly to the separations or threat thereof, and the absolute decline in sales or production. *TA-W-31,919; Toymax, Inc., Westbury,*

The investigation revealed that criterion (1) and criterion (3) have not been met. A significant number or proportion of the workers did not become totally or partially separated as required for certification. Increases of imports of articles like or directly competitive with articles produced by the firm or appropriate subdivision have not contributed importantly to the separations or threat thereof, and the absolute decline in sales or production.

Affirmative Determinations for Worker Adjustment Assistance

The following certifications have been issued; the date following the company name & location for each determination references the impact date for all workers for such determination.

TA-W-31,933; Victory Corrugated Container Corp. of New Jersey, Roselle, NJ: February 9, 1995.

TA-W-32,237; Intercontinental Branded Apparel, Hialeah, FL: April 8, 1995.

TA-W-33,039; Turbine Engine Components Textron, Danville, PA: March 8, 1995.

TA-W-32,085; Alcoa Electronic Packaging, San Diego, CA: March 7, 1995.

TA-W-32,019; Simpson Paper Co., West Linn, OR: February 20, 1995.

TA-W-32,088; Mobil Čorp., Mobil Research & Development Corp., Princeton, NJ: March 4, 1996.