on April 18, 1996 and extends through April 17, 1997.

Effective on April 24, 1996, you are directed to cancel the limit established for Category 435 for the period April 18, 1996 through April 17, 1997.

The Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements has determined that this action falls within the foreign affairs exception to the rulemaking provisions of 5 U.S.C.553(a)(1).

Sincerely,

D. Michael Hutchinson,

Acting Chairman, Committee for the Implementation of Textile Agreements. [FR Doc.96–10492 Filed 4–26–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3510–DR-F

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Notice of Proposed Information Collection; Comment Request

AGENCY: Office of the Assistant Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs. **ACTION:** Notice.

In compliance with Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, the Naval Health Research Center announces the collection of information for research on the health of Gulf War veterans and seeks public comments on the provisions thereof. Comments are invited on: (a) whether the proposed collection of information is necessary for the proper performance of the functions of the agency, including whether the information shall have practical utility; (b) ways to enhance the quality, utility and clarity of the information to be collected; and (c) ways to minimize the burden of the information collection on respondents: including the use of automated data collection techniques or other forms of information technology.

DATES: Consideration will be given to all comments received BY June 28, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to: Commander, Naval Health Research Center, Box 85122, San Diego, CA 92186–5122.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

To request more information on this proposed information collection or to obtain a copy of the proposal and the associated collection instruments, please write to the above address, or call Commander Greg Gray, M.C., U.S.N. at (619) 553–9967.

Title, Associated Form, and OMB Number: Epidemiological Studies of Morbidity Among Gulf War Veterans: A Search for Etiologic Agents and Risk Factors-Seabee Health Study (Study #5), Seabee Health Study Questionnaire, OMB Number 0720-(To be added).

Needs and Uses: This information is necessary to provide the DOD with information to evaluate whether Gulf War veterans have greater frequency of symptoms and illnesses than other veterans of the Gulf War era. Information from this study may assist the DoD and the Department of Veterans Affairs in defining unexplained symptomatology.

Affected Public: Current and former members of US Navy Seabee Battalions.

Annual Burden Hours: 10,000.

Number of Respondents: 10,000.

Responses per Respondent: 1.

Average Burden Per Response: 1 hour.

Frequency: Phase I: 98% of the study respondents will fill out the questionnaire once in 1996 (Phase I) and once in 2001, 2006, and 2011 (Phase II)

questionnaire once in 1996 (Phase I) and once in 2001, 2006, and 2011 (Phase II). Two percent of the study respondents will be re-surveyed in 1996, 2001, 2006, and 2011.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Summary of Information Collection

Respondents are current and former members of US Navy Seabee Battalions. This form will be used to provide the Department of the Navy with information on the prevalence of symptoms and illnesses, and exposures associated with military service in the Gulf War.

Dated: April 24, 1996.
Patricia L. Toppings,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison
Officer, Department of Defense.
[FR Doc. 96–10525 Filed 4–26–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

Public Information Collection Requirement Submitted to the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) for Review

ACTION: Notice.

The Department of Defense has submitted to OMB for clearance, the following proposal for collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35).

Title: Department of Defense Domestic Dependent Elementary and Secondary Schools (DDESS): 1996 Impact Aid Funding Surveys.

Type of Request: New collection. Number of Respondents: 127. Responses per Respondent: 1. Annual Responses: 127. Average Burden per Response: 34 minutes.

Annual Burden Hours: 71.

Needs and Uses: Historically, the Federal Government has recognized its responsibility to compensate communities for the education of family members who reside on Federal installations. Funding declines in the Federal Impact Aid Program have led Congress to request data to determine the effect of the military presence on Local Education Agency (LEA) funding levels, as well as the appropriate Federal Government role in compensating LEAs for this effect.

Affected Public: State, local, or tribal governments.

Frequency: One time.
Respondent's Obligation: Voluntary.
OMB Desk Officer: Mr. Edward C.
Springer.

Written comments and recommendations on the proposed information collection should be sent to Mr. Springer at the Office of Management and Budget, Desk Officer for DoD, Room 10236, New Executive Office Building, Washington, DC 20503.

DOD Clearance Officer: Mr. William Pearce.

Written requests for copies of the information collection proposal should be sent to Mr. Pearce, WHS/DIOR, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202–4302.

Dated: April 23, 1996.
Patricia L. Toppings,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison
Officer, Department of Defense.
[FR Doc. 96–10527 Filed 4–26–96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5000–04–M

Office of the Secretary

Defense Science Board Task Force on Information Warfare Defense

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee meeting.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Information Warfare Defense will meet in closed session on May 9–10, 1996 at Science Applications International Corporation, McLean, Virginia.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will focus on protection of information interests of national importance through establishment and maintenance of a credible information

warfare defensive capability in several areas, including deterrence. This study will be used to assist in analysis of information warfare procedures, processes, and mechanisms, and illuminate future options in defensive information warfare technology and policy.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1988)), it has been determined that this DSB Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) (1988), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed to the public.

Dated: April 23, 1996.

L. M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96-10528 Filed 4-26-96: 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

Defense Science Board Task Force on Image-Based Automatic Target Recognition

ACTION: Notice of Advisory Committee meetings.

SUMMARY: The Defense Science Board Task Force on Image-Based Automatic Target Recognition will meet in closed session on May 14-15, 1996 at XONTECH, Inc. Van Nuvs, California.

The mission of the Defense Science Board is to advise the Secretary of Defense through the Under Secretary of Defense for Acquisition and Technology on scientific and technical matters as they affect the perceived needs of the Department of Defense. At this meeting the Task Force will assess the ability of automatic/aided target recognition technology and systems to support important military missions, principally in the near- and mid-term. The Task Force should concentrate on those technologies and systems that use imagery (EO, IR or radar) as their primary input medium.

In accordance with Section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, Public Law 92-463, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. II, (1988)), it has been determined that this DSB Task Force meeting concerns matters listed in 5 U.S.C. 552b(c)(1) (1988), and that accordingly this meeting will be closed

to the public.

Dated: April 23, 1996.

L. M. Bynum,

Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.

[FR Doc. 96-10529 Filed 4-26-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

U.S. Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces; Proposed Rule Changes

ACTION: Notice of Proposed Changes to the Rules of Practice and Procedure of the United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces.

SUMMARY: This notice announces the following proposed changes (underlined) to the Rules of Practice and Procedure. United States Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces for public notice and comment:

Rule 24. Form and Content, Page Limitations, Style, and Classified Information

(a) Form and content. All briefs will be legible and will be substantially as follows:

In the United States Court of Appeals For the Armed Forces

UNITED STATES, (Appellee), (Appellant), (Respondent), v.

(Full typed name, rank, service & service no. of accused) (Appellant), (Appellee), (Petitioner)

Brief on Behalf of (Appellant, Appellee,

Crim. App. No. _ USCA Dkt. No. _

Index of Brief

[See Rule 24(c)(2)]

Table of Cases, Statutes, and Other Authorities Issue(s) Presented

Set forth, in a concise statement, each issue granted review by the Court, raised in the certificate for review or mandatory review case, or presented in the petition for extraordinary relief, writ appeal petition, or petition for new trial.l

Statement of the Case

[Set forth a concise chronology including the results of the accused's trial, action by the covening authority, the officer exercising general courtmartial jurisdiction (if any), and the Court of Criminal Appeals as well as other pertinent information regarding the proceedings, including, where applicable, the date the petition for review was granted.]

Statement of Facts

[Set forth a concise statement of the facts of the case material to the issue or issues presented, including specific page references to each relevant portion of the record of trial. Answers may adopt appellant's or petitioners' statement of facts if there is no dispute, may state additional facts, or, if there is

a dispute, may restate the facts as they appear from appellee's or respondent's viewpoint. The repetition of uncontroverted matters is not desired.]

Summary of Argument

[Each brief and answer shall contain a summary of argument, suitably paragraphed to correspond to each issue presented. The summary should be a succinct, but accurate and clear condensation of the arguments made in the body of the brief.]

Argument

[Discuss briefly the point of law presented, citing and quoting such authorities as are deemed pertinent. The argument must also include for each issue presented a statement of the applicable standard of review. The standard of review may appear in the discussion of each issue or under a separate heading.]

Conclusion

State the relief sought as to each issue presented, for example, reversal of the Court of Criminal Appeals decision and dismissal of the charges, grant of a new trial, the extraordinary relief sought, etc. No particular form of language is required, so long as the brief concludes with a clear prayer for specific Court action.]

Appendix

The brief of the appellant or petitioner shall include an appendix containing a copy of the Court of Criminal Appeals decision, unpublished opinions cited in the brief, and relevant extracts of rules and regulations. The appellee or respondent shall similarly file an appendix containing a copy of any additional unpublished opinions and relevant extracts of rules and regulations cited in the answer.]

(Signature of counsel)	
(Typed name of counsel)	
(Address of counsel)	

CERTIFICATE OF FILING AND **SERVICE**

(Telephone no. of counsel)

I certify that a copy of the foregoing was [mailed] [delivered] to the Court and [mailed] [delivered] to (enter name of each counsel of record) on

(date)			

(Typed name and signature)