

Exchange) on October 31, 1995, a letter containing annual report questions with a reminder that its annual report was due on December 25, 1995. Additional reminders were sent on February 9, 1996, and on March 4, 1996. The Department has received no written response to any of these letters.

On April 18, 1996, and in accordance with Section 325.10 (c)[1] of the Regulations, a letter was sent by certified mail to notify William E. Elliott (d/b/a Export Exchange) that the Department was formally initiating the process to revoke its certificate. The letter stated that this action is being taken because of the certificate holder's failure to file an annual report.

In accordance with Section 325.10(c)(2) of the Regulations, each certificate holder has thirty days from the day after its receipt of the notification letter in which to respond. The certificate holder is deemed to have received this letter as of the date on which this notice is published in the Federal Register. For good cause shown, the Department of Commerce can, at its discretion, grant a thirty-day extension for a response.

If the certificate holder decides to respond, it must specifically address the Department's statement in the notification letter that it has failed to file an annual report. It should state in detail why the facts, conduct, or circumstances described in the notification letter are not true, or if they are, why they do not warrant revoking the certificate. If the certificate holder does not respond within the specified period, it will be considered an admission of the statements contained in the notification letter (Section 325.10(c)[2] of the Regulations).

If the answer demonstrates that the material facts are in dispute, the Department of Commerce and the Department of Justice shall, upon request, meet informally with the certificate holder. Either Department may require the certificate holder to provide the documents or information that are necessary to support its contentions (Section 325.10(c)[3] of the Regulations).

The Department shall publish a notice in the Federal Register of the revocation or modification or a decision not to revoke or modify (Section 325.10(c)[4] of the Regulations). If there is a determination to revoke a certificate, any person aggrieved by such final decision may appeal to an appropriate U.S. district court within 30 days from the date on which the Department's final determination is published in the Federal Register (Sections 325.10(c)(4) and 325.11 of the Regulations).

Dated: April 18, 1996.

W. Dawn Busby,

Director, Office of Export Trading Company Affairs.

[FR Doc. 96-10028 Filed 4-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DR-P

Antidumping Duties; Countervailing Duties

AGENCY: Import Administration, International Trade Administration, Department of Commerce.

ACTION: Extension of deadline to file public comments on proposed antidumping and countervailing duty regulations and announcement of public hearing.

SUMMARY: The Department of Commerce (the Department) is extending the deadline to file public comments on the proposed antidumping and countervailing duties regulations containing changes resulting from the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (the URAA). The deadline for filing comments on the proposed regulations is now May 15, 1996. A public hearing will be held on June 7, 1996.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION: On February 27, 1996, the Department published proposed antidumping and countervailing duty regulations (61 FR 7308). We requested written comments from the public, to be submitted by April 29, 1996. We have now extended the deadline for filing written comments to May 15, 1996.

PROPOSED REGULATIONS: The proposed regulations are available on the Internet at the following address:

HTTP://WWW.ITA.DOC.GOV/
IMPORT_ADMIN/RECORDS/

In addition, the proposed regulations are available to the public on 3.5" diskettes, with specific instructions for accessing compressed data, at cost, and paper copies available for reading and photocopying in Room B-099 of the Central Records Unit. Any questions concerning file formatting, document conversion, access on Internet, or other file requirements should be addressed to Andrew Lee Beller, Director of Central Records, (202) 482-1248.

FORMAT AND NUMBER OF COPIES: To simplify the processing and distribution of the public comments pertaining to the Department's proposed regulations, parties are encouraged to submit documents in electronic form accompanied by an original and three paper copies. All documents filed in electronic form must be on DOS formatted 3.5" diskettes, and must be prepared in either WordPerfect format

or a format that the WordPerfect program can convert and import into WordPerfect. If possible, the Department would appreciate the documents being filed in either ASCII format or WordPerfect 5.1, and containing generic codes. The Department would also appreciate the use of descriptive file names.

HEARING: A public hearing on the proposed regulations will be held at 10:00 on June 7, 1996, in Room 4830 of the Herbert C. Hoover Building at Pennsylvania Avenue and 14th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. In order to participate in the hearing, parties must submit a written request to the Department no later than May 17, 1996. Written requests should detail the topics parties wish to discuss at the hearing. The Department will accommodate as many requesting parties as time permits.

ADDRESSES: Address written comments and requests to participate in the public hearing to Susan G. Esserman, Assistant Secretary for Import Administration, Central Records Unit, Room B-099, U.S. Department of Commerce, Pennsylvania Avenue and 14th Street NW., Washington, D.C. 20230. Comments on the proposed regulations should be addressed: Attention: Proposed Regulations Comments. Each person submitting a comment should include his or her name, address, and give reasons for any recommendation. Requests to participate in the hearing should be addressed: Attention: Request to participate in hearing on proposed regulations. Each person submitting a request should include his or her name, address, and phone number.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Penelope Naas at (202) 482-3534.

Dated: April 18, 1996.

Susan G. Esserman,
Assistant Secretary for Import Administration.

[FR Doc. 96-10009 Filed 4-23-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3510-DS-P

COMMISSION ON IMMIGRATION REFORM

Public Hearing in Houston, Texas

AGENCY: U.S. Commission on Immigration Reform.

ACTION: Announcement of Commission public hearing.

This notice announces a public hearing to be held by the U.S. Commission on Immigration Reform in Houston, Texas on May 2, 1996. The Commission, created by Section 141 of the Immigration Act of 1990, is

mandated to review the implementation and impact of U.S. immigration policy and report its findings to Congress. Interim reports, *U.S. Immigration Policy: Restoring Credibility, and U.S. Immigration Policy: Setting Priorities*, were issued on September 30, 1994 and August 25, 1995 respectively; the Commission's final report is due at the end of fiscal year 1997.

The public hearing participants will include the Commissioners, researchers, government officials, representatives of local organizations, and other experts. The public hearing will focus on the impact, adaption and integration of immigrants in the Houston community. Participants are asked to make recommendations to the Commission on how to improve the impacts and integration of immigrants and how any negative impacts may be mitigated.

Thursday, May 2, 1996

8:30 a.m.–12:00 p.m.—Public Hearing on the Effects of Immigration in the Houston Metropolitan Area HISD School Board Auditorium, Level 1 West, The Hattie Mae White Administrative Building, 3830 Richmond Avenue, Houston, TX.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Donnelly (202) 776–8642.

Dated: April 18, 1996.

Susan Martin,

Executive Director.

[FR Doc. 96–10063 Filed 4–23–96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6820–97–M

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Manual for Courts-Martial

AGENCY: Joint Service Committee on Military Justice (JSC).

ACTION: Revised notice of proposed amendments.

SUMMARY: The Department of Defense is considering recommending changes to the Manual for Courts-Martial, United States (1995 Edition). On 4 April 1996, the 1996 draft annual review, as required by the Manual for Courts-Martial and DoD Directive 5500.17, "Review of the Manual for Courts-Martial," January 23, 1985, was published in the Federal Register, 61 Fed. Reg. 15044–53 (1996). That publication inadvertently published some of the text out of order. This publication is intended to supplement that earlier publication and to extend the public comment period to 25 June 1996.

The full text of the effected sections follows:

R.C.M. 908(a) is amended to read as follows:

(a) *In general.* In a trial by a court-martial over which a military judge presides and in which a punitive discharge may be adjudged, the United States may appeal an order or ruling that terminates the proceedings with respect to a charge or specification, or excludes evidence that is substantial proof of a fact material in the proceedings, or directs the disclosure of classified information, or that imposes sanctions for nondisclosure of classified information. The United States may also appeal a refusal by the military judge to issue a protective order sought by the United States to prevent the disclosure of classified information or to enforce such an order that has previously been issued by the appropriate authority. However, the United States may not appeal an order or ruling that is, or amounts to, a finding of not guilty with respect to the charge or specification.

The analysis accompanying R.C.M. 908 is amended by inserting the following at the end thereof:

1996 Amendment: This change resulted from Congress' amendment to Article 621 in the National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1996, Pub. L. No. 104–106 (1996). It permits interlocutory appeal of rulings disclosing classified information.

R.C.M. 909 is amended to read as follows:

(a) *In general.* No person may be brought to trial by court-martial if that person is presently suffering from a mental disease or defect rendering him or her mentally incompetent to the extent that he or she is unable to understand the nature of the proceedings against that person or to conduct or cooperate intelligently in the defense of the case.

(b) *Presumption of capacity.* A person is presumed to have the capacity to stand trial unless the contrary is established.

(c) *Determination before referral.* If an inquiry pursuant to R.C.M. 706 conducted before referral concludes that an accused is suffering from a mental disease or defect that renders him or her mentally incompetent to stand trial, and the general court-martial convening authority concurs with that conclusion, that accused shall be committed by the general court-martial convening authority to the custody of the U.S. Attorney General. If the general court-martial convening authority does not concur, that authority may refer the charges to trial.

(d) *Determination after referral.* After referral, the military judge may conduct a hearing to determine the mental capacity of the accused. If an inquiry pursuant to R.C.M. 706 conducted after referral but before trial concludes that an accused is suffering from a mental disease or defect that renders him or her mentally incompetent to stand trial, the military judge shall conduct a hearing to determine the mental capacity of the accused. Any such hearing shall be conducted in accordance with paragraph (e) of this rule.

(e) *Incompetency determination hearing.*

(1) *Nature of issue.* The mental capacity of the accused is an interlocutory question of fact.

(2) *Standard.* Trial may proceed unless it is established by a preponderance of the evidence that the accused is presently suffering from a mental disease or defect rendering him or her mentally incompetent to the extent that he or she is unable to understand the nature of the proceedings against the accused or to conduct or cooperate intelligently in the defense of the case. In making this determination, the military judge is not bound by the rules of evidence except with respect to privileges.

(3) If the military judge finds the accused is incompetent to stand trial, the judge shall report this finding to the general court-martial convening authority, who shall commit the accused to the custody of the Attorney General.

(f) *Hospitalization of the accused.* An accused who is found incompetent to stand trial under this rule shall be hospitalized by the Attorney General as provided in section 4241(d) of title 18, United States Code. If notified that the accused has recovered to such an extent that he or she is able to understand the nature of the proceedings and to conduct or cooperate intelligently in the defense of the case, then the general court-martial convening authority shall promptly take custody of the accused. If, at the end of the period of hospitalization, the accused's mental condition has not so improved, action shall be taken in accordance with section 4246 of title 18.

(g) *Excludable delay.* All periods of commitment shall be excluded as provided by R.C.M. 707(c). The 120-day time period under R.C.M. 707 shall begin anew on the date the general court-martial convening authority takes custody of the accused at the end of any period of commitment.

The discussion following R.C.M. 909(f) is amended by adding the following: