

ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY**40 CFR Part 52**

[IN52-1-6978b; FRL-5452-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The USEPA proposes to approve Indiana's August 8, 1995, request for rule changes specific to Richmond Power and Light's (RPL's) Whitewater Generating Station located in Wayne County in Richmond, Indiana. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the USEPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before May 9, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Pohlman, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604, (312) 886-3299.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: March 22, 1996.

Valdas V. Adamkus,

Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-8439 Filed 4-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[WI61-01-7144b; FRL-5426-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Wisconsin; Lithographic Printing SIP Revision**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: The EPA proposes to approve a revision to the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone that was submitted on May 12, 1995, and supplemented on June 14, 1995 and November 14, 1995. This revision consists of a volatile organic compound (VOC) regulation which establishes reasonably available control technology (RACT) for lithographic printing facilities. This regulation was submitted to address, in part, the requirement of section 182(b)(2)(C) of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) that States revise their SIPs to establish RACT regulations for major sources of VOCs for which the USEPA has not issued a control technology guidelines (CTG) document. In addition, emission reductions resulting from this rule are being used by the State to fulfill, in part, the requirement of section 182(b)(1) of the Act that States submit a plan that provides for a 15 percent reduction in VOC emissions by 1996.

In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received by May 9, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR-18J), EPA, Region

5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the following address: (Please telephone Kathleen D'Agostino at (312) 886-1767 before visiting the Region 5 office.) EPA, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604-3590.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: January 29, 1996.

David A. Ullrich,

Acting Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-8437 Filed 4-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[PA 52-2-7155b, 55-2-7137b, PA 58-1-7138b, PA 64-1-7139b, PA 66-2-7140b, PA 071-4008b, PA 079-4009b; FRL-5443-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Approval of Source-Specific VOC and NO_x RACT and Synthetic Minor Permit Conditions, and 1990 Baseyear Emissions for One Source**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection Agency (EPA).**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the purpose of establishing VOC and NO_x RACT for twenty sources, federally enforceable conditions on one source to make it a synthetic minor source, and approving the 1990 emissions for one source in the Philadelphia 1990 baseyear emission inventory. This action affects a total of 21 sources. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule and the accompanying technical support document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule

based on this proposed rule. EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this action should do so at this time. If adverse comments are received that do not pertain to all documents subject to this rulemaking action, those documents not affected by the adverse comments will be finalized in the manner described here. Only those documents that receive adverse comments will be withdrawn in the manner described here.

DATES: Comments must be received in writing by May 9, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments on this action should be addressed to Marcia L. Spink, Associate Director, Air Programs, Mailcode 3AT00, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107. Copies of the documents relevant to this action are available for public inspection during normal business hours at the Air, Radiation, and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region III, 841 Chestnut Building, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107; and the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection, Bureau of Air Quality Control, P.O. Box 8468, 400 Market Street, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17105.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Cynthia H. Stahl, (215) 597-9337, at the EPA Region III office or via e-mail at stahl.cynthia@epamail.epa.gov. While information may be requested via e-mail, comments must be submitted in writing to the above Region III address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: See the information, pertaining to this action (VOC and NO_x RACT approval, synthetic minor approval, and approval of 1990 emissions for one source in the Philadelphia 1990 baseyear emissions inventory) affecting 21 sources in Pennsylvania, provided in the Direct Final action of the same title which is located in the Rules and Regulations Section of this Federal Register.

Authority: 42 U.S.C. 7401-7671q.

Dated: February 15, 1996.

Stanley L. Laskowski,

Acting Regional Administrator, Region III.

[FR Doc. 96-8431 Filed 4-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[AZ033-0002 FRL-5456-7]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Arizona—Maricopa Nonattainment Area; Carbon Monoxide

AGENCY: Environmental Protection Agency.

ACTION: Proposed rule.

SUMMARY: EPA is proposing to approve contingency measures adopted pursuant to the Clean Air Act (CAA) and submitted to EPA as revisions to the Arizona State Implementation Plan (SIP) for the Maricopa (Phoenix) carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment area. The intended effect of approving these contingency measures is to regulate emissions of CO in accordance with the requirements of the CAA. Based on the proposed approval of these measures, EPA is proposing to withdraw its Federal contingency process for the Maricopa area and its proposed list of highway projects subject to delay.

DATES: Written comments on this proposal must be submitted to EPA at the address below by May 9, 1996. A public hearing, if requested, will be held in Phoenix, Arizona. If such a hearing is requested, it will be held on April 23, 1996. If a hearing is requested, the comment period will be extended until May 24, 1996. The purpose of the extension of the comment period beyond May 9, 1996 is to provide an opportunity for the submission of rebuttal and supplementary information. Anyone who wishes to request a public hearing should call Wallace Woo at 415-744-1207 by April 16, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Wallace Woo, Chief, Plans Development Section, A-2-2, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105.

The rulemaking docket for this notice, Docket No. 96-AZ-PL-001, may be inspected and copied at the following location between 8 a.m. and 4:30 p.m. on weekdays. A reasonable fee may be charged for copying parts of the docket. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, Air and Toxics Division, Plans Development Section, A-2-2, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105.

Copies of the docket are also available at the State office listed below:

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, Library, 3033 North Central Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona 85012

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Jerry Wamsley, A-2-2, Air and Toxics Division, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 9, 75 Hawthorne Street, San Francisco, California 94105, (415) 744-1226.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

I. Background

A. 1991 Federal Implementation Plan

On February 11, 1991, EPA disapproved under the Clean Air Act (CAA) portions of the Arizona State implementation plan (SIP) and promulgated a limited Federal implementation plan (FIP) for the Maricopa County (Phoenix), Arizona carbon monoxide (CO) nonattainment area. EPA disapproved portions of the SIP and promulgated the FIP in response to an order of the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals in *Delaney v. EPA*, 898 F.2d 687 (9th Cir. 1990). For a discussion of *Delaney*, the SIP disapproval, and the FIP, see the notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM) for the FIP, 55 FR 41204 (October 10, 1990) and the notice of final rulemaking (NFRM) for the FIP, 56 FR 5458 (February 11, 1991).

The *Delaney* order required EPA to promulgate, as part of the FIP, a two-part contingency process consistent with the Agency's 1982 ozone and CO SIP guidance (1982 guidance) regarding contingency procedures found at 46 FR 7187, 7192 (January 22, 1981). These two parts were a list of transportation projects that would be delayed while an inadequate plan was being revised and a procedure to adopt measures to compensate for unanticipated emission reduction shortfalls. The FIP contingency process is described in detail at 56 FR 5458, 5470-5472.¹

¹ Implementation of the FIP contingency process was triggered by violations of the CO standard in Phoenix in December 1992. On June 28, 1993, EPA published a notice of proposed rulemaking proposing to find that the implementation plan was inadequate and that additional control measures were necessary to attain and maintain the CO NAAQS in the Maricopa area. In the same notice, EPA also proposed an updated list of highway projects subject to delay while the implementation plan was being revised. On August 9, 1993, EPA issued a SIP call under section 110(k)(5) of the CAA requiring that Arizona submit a new plan by July 19, 1994. Arizona submitted SIP revisions to EPA in November 1993, March 1994 and August 1995 that contained new control measures and a demonstration that the area would attain the CO NAAQS by December 31, 1995, the attainment deadline for Phoenix under the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments. As a result, EPA took no final action on the June 28, 1993 proposal. Therefore, EPA is today withdrawing the proposed list of highway projects subject to delay because it is no longer current and would have to be updated and revised if the FIP contingency process were to be implemented again.