That airspace extending upward from 700 feet above the surface within a 6.6-mile radius of Independence Municipal Airport. \*

Issued in Kansas City, MO, on March 21, 1996.

Herman J. Lyons, Jr.,

Manager, Air Traffic Division, Central Region. [FR Doc. 96-8796 Filed 4-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4910-13-M

#### **DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY**

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

[INTL-0009-95]

RIN 1545-AT42

Certain Transfers of Domestic Stock or Securities by U.S. Persons to Foreign Corporations; Hearing Cancellation

**AGENCY:** Internal Revenue Service, Treasury.

**ACTION:** Cancellation of notice of public hearing on proposed regulations.

**SUMMARY:** This document provides notice of cancellation of a public hearing on proposed regulations relating to certain transfers of stock or securities of domestic corporations by United States persons pursuant to the corporate organization, reorganization or liquidation provisions of the Internal Revenue Code.

**DATES:** The public hearing originally scheduled for April 11, 1996, beginning at 10:00 a.m. is cancelled.

# FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Christina Vasquez of the Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel

(Corporate), (202) 622-7180.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The subject of the public hearing is proposed regulations under section 367 of the Internal Revenue Code. A notice of proposed rulemaking by cross-reference to temporary regulations and notice of public hearing appearing in the Federal Register for Tuesday, December 26, 1995 (60 FR 66771), announced that a public hearing on the proposed regulations would by held on Thursday, April 11, 1996, beginning at 10:00 a.m., in the IRS Auditorium, 7400 Corridor, Internal Revenue Building, 1111 Constitution Avenue NW., Washington. D.C. The public hearing scheduled for Thursday, April 11, 1996, is cancelled. Cynthia E. Grigsby,

Chief, Regulations Unit, Assistant Chief Counsel (Corporate).

[FR Doc. 96-8913 Filed 4-5-96; 12:08 pm] BILLING CODE 4830-01-U

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

Mine Safety and Health Administration

30 CFR Parts 6, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 26, 27, 29, 33, and 35

**RIN 1219-AA87** 

Testing and Evaluation by Nationally **Recognized Testing Laboratories and** Use of Equivalent Testing and **Evaluation Requirements** 

**AGENCY:** Mine Safety and Health Administration, Labor.

**ACTION:** Proposed rule; notice of rescheduled public hearing and close of record.

**SUMMARY:** The Mine Safety and Health Administration (MSHA) has rescheduled the public hearing on its proposed regulations for testing and evaluation of products by nationally recognized testing laboratories (NRTL) and the use of equivalent testing and evaluation requirements. The purpose of the hearing is to receive relevant comments on the proposed changes to MSHA's regulations for the approval of products for use in underground mines and to respond to questions from the public about these proposed changes. DATES: The hearing will be held on Tuesday, April 30, 1996, in Washington, PA beginning at 9:00 a.m. The public record will close on May 31, 1996. **ADDRESSES:** The hearing will be held at the Meadowlands Holiday Inn, Conference Center Suite, 340 Race Track Road, Washington, Pennsylvania 15301. For hotel reservations call Loretta at 412-222-6200.

Send requests to make oral presentations to the Mine Safety and Health Administration; Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances; 4015 Wilson Boulevard, Room 631; Arlington, VA 22203. Requests to make oral presentations also can be faxed or called in to the MSHA, Office of Standards, Regulations, and Variances at 703-235-1910, fax: 703-235-5551. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Patricia W. Silvey, Director; Office of

Standards, Regulations, and Variances; 703-235-1910.

## SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### I. Background

On November 30, 1994, MSHA published a proposed rule (59 FR 61376) to revise its existing standards for testing and evaluating products for approval for use in underground mines. The comment period closed on February 21, 1995.

The proposal would require manufacturers of certain products to use a private sector laboratory recognized by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration (OSHA) as a Nationally Recognized Testing Laboratory (NRTL) to perform the necessary testing and evaluation for MSHA approvals. This proposed rule would revise MSHA's testing and evaluation responsibilities and allow the Agency to expand its post-approval product audit program and pursue the evaluation of new safer technology as applied to underground mining products.

MSHA specifically solicits additional suggestions and comments on these issues at the public hearing, as well as comments on any other aspects of the proposed rule.

## II. Conduct of Hearing

The hearing will be conducted in an informal manner by a panel of MSHA officials. The order of appearance of persons making presentations will be determined by the Agency and, immediately before the hearing, any unallotted time will be made available to persons making late requests. Although formal rules of evidence will not apply, the presiding official may exercise discretion in excluding irrelevant or unduly repetitious material and questions.

The hearing will begin with an opening statement from MSHA followed by an opportunity for members of the public to make oral presentations. During these presentations, the hearing panel will be available to answer relevant questions. At the discretion of the presiding official, speakers may be limited to a maximum of 20 minutes for their presentations. Time will be made available at the end of the hearings for rebuttal statements.

Verbatim transcripts of the proceedings will be taken and made a part of the rulemaking record. Copies of the hearing transcript will be made available for review by the public.

MSHA also will accept additional written comments and other appropriate data from any interested party, including those not presenting oral statements. Written comments and data submitted to MSHA will be included in the rulemaking record. To allow for the submission of any post-hearing comments, the record will remain open until May 31, 1996.

Dated: March 29, 1996.

J. Davitt McAteer,

Assistant Secretary for Mine Safety and Health.

[FR Doc. 96-8751 Filed 4-8-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510-43-P

# ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

40 CFR Part 52

[IN52-1-6978b; FRL-5452-8]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans; Indiana

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (USEPA).

ACTION: Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The USEPA proposes to approve Indiana's August 8, 1995, request for rule changes specific to Richmond Power and Light's (RPL's) Whitewater Generating Station located in Wayne County in Richmond, Indiana. In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the USEPA is approving this action as a direct final rule without prior proposal because USEPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If USEPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on the proposed rule. USEPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

**DATES:** Comments on this proposed rule must be received on or before May 9, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Written comments should be mailed to: J. Elmer Bortzer, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

Copies of the State submittal and USEPA's analysis of it are available for inspection at: Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: David Pohlman, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs

Branch (AR–18J), U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois

60604, (312) 886–3299.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** For additional information see the direct final rule published in the rules section of this Federal Register.

Dated: March 22, 1996. Valdas V. Adamkus, Regional Administrator.

[FR Doc. 96-8439 Filed 4-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

40 CFR Part 52

[WI61-01-7144b; FRL-5426-3]

Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plan; Wisconsin; Lithographic Printing SIP Revision

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** The EPA proposes to approve a revision to the Wisconsin State Implementation Plan (SIP) for ozone that was submitted on May 12, 1995, and supplemented on June 14, 1995 and November 14, 1995. This revision consists of a volatile organic compound (VOC) regulation which establishes reasonably available control technology (RACT) for lithographic printing facilities. This regulation was submitted to address, in part, the requirement of section 182(b)(2)(C) of the Clean Air Act (CAA or Act) that States revise their SIPs to establish RACT regulations for major sources of VOCs for which the USEPA has not issued a control technology guidelines (CTG) document. In addition, emission reductions resulting from this rule are being used by the State to fulfill, in part, the requirement of section 182(b)(1) of the Act that States submit a plan that provides for a 15 percent reduction in VOC emissions by 1996.

In the final rules section of this Federal Register, the EPA is approving this action as a direct final without prior proposal because EPA views this as a noncontroversial action and anticipates no adverse comments. If no adverse comments are received in response to that direct final rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this proposed rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule based on this proposed rule. The EPA will not institute a second comment period on this action. Any parties interested in commenting on this document should do so at this time.

DATES: Comments on this proposed action must be received by May 9, 1996. ADDRESSES: Written comments should be sent to: Carlton T. Nash, Chief, Regulation Development Section, Air Programs Branch (AR–18J), EPA, Region

5, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3590.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: For additional information, see the Direct Final rule which is located in the Rules section of this Federal Register. Copies of the request and the EPA's analysis are available for inspection at the following address: (Please telephone Kathleen D'Agostino at (312) 886–1767 before visiting the Region 5 office.) EPA, Region 5, Air and Radiation Division, 77 West Jackson Boulevard, Chicago, Illinois 60604–3590.

Authority: 42 U.S. C. 7401–7671q.

Dated: January 29, 1996.

David A. Ullrich,

 $Acting \ Regional \ Administrator.$ 

[FR Doc. 96-8437 Filed 4-8-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6560-50-P

## 40 CFR Part 52

[PA 52-2-7155b, 55-2-7137b, PA 58-1-7138b, PA 64-1-7139b, PA 66-2-7140b, PA 071-4008b, PA 079-4009b; FRL-5443-1]

Approval and Promulgation of Air Quality Implementation Plans; Pennsylvania; Approval of Source-Specific VOC and NO<sub>X</sub> RACT and Synthetic Minor Permit Conditions, and 1990 Baseyear Emissions for One Source

**AGENCY:** Environmental Protection

Agency (EPA).

**ACTION:** Proposed rule.

**SUMMARY:** EPA proposes to approve the State Implementation Plan (SIP) revision submitted by the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania for the purpose of establishing VOC and NOX RACT for twenty sources, federally enforceable conditions on one source to make it a synthetic minor source, and approving the 1990 emissions for one source in the Philadelphia 1990 baseyear emission inventory. This action affects a total of 21 sources. In the Final Rules section of this Federal Register, EPA is approving the State's SIP revision as a direct final rule without prior proposal because the Agency views this as a noncontroversial SIP revision and anticipates no adverse comments. A detailed rationale for the approval is set forth in the direct final rule and the accompanying technical support document. If no adverse comments are received in response to this proposed rule, no further activity is contemplated in relation to this rule. If EPA receives adverse comments, the direct final rule will be withdrawn and all public comments received will be addressed in a subsequent final rule