

reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information.—

The annual reporting burden is estimated as follows: Respondents, 1,100,000; responses per respondent, 1; total annual responses, 1,100,000; preparation hours per response, 30 minutes/subcontractor, 5 minutes/prime contractor; and total response burden hours, 91,667.

Obtaining Copies of Proposals: Requester may obtain copies of justifications from the General Services Administration, FAR Secretariat (MVRs), Room 4037, Washington, DC 20405, telephone (202) 501-4755. Please cite OMB Control No. 9000-0094, Debarment and Suspension, in all correspondence.

Dated: March 27, 1996.
Beverly Fayson,
FAR Secretariat.
[FR Doc. 96-8282 Filed 4-3-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 6820-EP-P

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

Office of the Secretary

Joint Service Committee on Military Justice: Public Meeting

AGENCY: Joint Service Committee on Military Justice (JSC).

ACTION: Notice of public meeting.

SUMMARY: This notice sets forth the schedule and proposed agenda for the 1996 annual public meeting of the JSC. This notice also describes the functions of the JSC.

DATES & TIMES: 25 June 1996 at 10:00 a.m.

ADDRESSES: Conference Room, U.S. Army Legal Services Agency, Litigation Center, 901 North Stuart Street, Suite 404B, Arlington, Virginia 22203-1837.

FUNCTION: The JSC was established by the Judge Advocates General in 1972. The JSC currently operates under Department of Defense Directive 5500.17 of January 23, 1985. It is the function of the JSC to improve Military Justice through the preparation and evaluation of proposed amendments and changes to the Uniform Code of Military Justice and the *Manual for Court-Martial*.

AGENDA: The JSC will receive public comment concerning its 1996 draft annual review of *Manual for Courts-Martial* as published on April 4, 1996.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: LT J. Russell McFarlane, JAGC, USNR, Executive Secretary, Joint Service

Committee on Military Justice, Building 111, Washington Navy Yard, Washington, DC 20374-1111; (202) 433-5895.

Dated: April 1, 1996.
Patricia L. Toppings,
Alternate OSD Federal Register Liaison Officer, Department of Defense.
[FR Doc. 96-8329 Filed 4-3-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 5000-04-M

Department of the Army

Availability of Surplus Land and Buildings Located at Detroit Arsenal Tank Plant, Michigan

AGENCY: Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

ACTION: Notice of availability.

SUMMARY: This notice identifies the surplus real property located at Detroit Arsenal Tank Plant, Michigan (DATP). DATP is located on the east side of the Detroit Arsenal, 1/2 mile from Interstate 696. A commercial airport is within 30 miles of the installation and rail network is located on the installation.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

For more information regarding particular properties identified in this notice (i.e., acreage, floor plans, existing sanitary facilities, exact street address), contact Ms. Laura Whitworth, U.S. Army Engineer District, Louisville, Attn: CEORL-RE-S, P.O. Box 59, Louisville, KY 40201-0059, (telephone (502) 625-7303); or Mayor Steenbergh at the below address.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: This surplus property is available under the provisions of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 and the Base Closure Community Redevelopment and Homeless Assistance Act of 1994. Notices of interest should be forwarded to Honorable Mark Steenbergh, Office of the Mayor, 29500 Van Dyke Ave., Warren, MI 48093.

The surplus real property totals 150 acres and includes 5 office buildings, 5 storage buildings, and 22 other buildings. The current range of uses include industrial, storage, and general administration. Future uses may be limited to those described above.

Michael G. Barter,
Chief, Real Estate Division.
[FR Doc. 96-8258 Filed 4-3-96; 8:45 am]
BILLING CODE 3710-JB-M

Department of the Navy

Notice of Public Hearing for the Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement for Facilities Development Necessary to Support Potential Aircraft Carrier Homeporting at Naval Station Mayport, Florida

SUMMARY: Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy has prepared and filed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency a Draft Programmatic Environmental Impact Statement (DPEIS) for the evaluation of Facilities Development Necessary to Support Potential Aircraft Carrier Homeporting at Naval Station (NAVSTA) Mayport, Florida. The DPEIS has been distributed to various federal, state and local agencies, elected officers, special interest groups, and the public. It also is on file and available for review at the Jacksonville Public Library, Main Branch; Beaches Library in Neptune Beach; and Regency Square Library in Jacksonville.

The National Defense Authorization Act for Fiscal Year 1993 (Public Law 102-484, Section 1011) requires that the Navy prepare a plan for developing NAVSTA Mayport as a Nimitz-class aircraft carrier (CVN) homeport. The DPEIS documents issues concerning the feasibility of and the impacts associated with the possible future homeporting of a CVN at NAVSTA Mayport. Studies have indicated that homeporting a CVN at NAVSTA Mayport will require carrier-wharf improvements, utilities and maintenance facilities upgrade, and dredging of the turning basin and entrance channel to — 50 feet below mean lower low water.

If, in the future, the Navy proposes to homeport a CVN at NAVSTA Mayport, additional NEPA documentation will be prepared to tier from this DPEIS. That documentation will identify issues specific to the proposed action and analyze their impacts within the appropriate time-frame.

ADDRESSES: The Navy will conduct a public hearing on Wednesday, April 24, 1996, beginning at 7:00 p.m. at the Fletcher Senior High School Auditorium, 700 Seagate Avenue, Neptune Beach, Florida, to inform the public of the DPEIS findings and to solicit comments. Federal, state and local agencies, and interested parties are invited to be present or represented at the hearing. Oral comments will be heard and transcribed by a stenographer. To assure accuracy of the

record, all comments should be submitted in writing. All comments, both oral and written, will become part of the public record in the study. In the interest of available time, each speaker will be asked to limit oral comments to five minutes. Longer comments should be summarized at the public hearing and submitted in writing either at the hearing or mailed to the address listed below. Written comments must be received by May 13, 1996, to become part of the official record. Additional information concerning this notice may be obtained by contacting: Mr. Ronnie Lattimore (Code 064RL), Southern Division, Naval Facilities Engineering Command, P.O. Box 190010, North Charleston, South Carolina 29419-9010, telephone (803) 820-5888.

Dated: April 1, 1996.

M.A. Waters,

LCDR, JAGC, USN, *Federal Register Liaison Officer*.

[FR Doc. 96-8289 Filed 4-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3810-FF-P

DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

Office of Administrative Law Judges; Notice of Intent To Compromise a Claim; Alaska Department of Education

SUMMARY: The Department intends to compromise a claim against the Alaska Department of Education (Alaska) now pending before the Office of Administrative Law Judges (OALJ). Docket No. 94-204-R. (20 U.S.C. 1234a(j)).

DATES: Interested persons may comment on the proposed action by submitting written data, views, or arguments on or before May 20, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Comments should be addressed to Lynette Charboneau, Office of the General Counsel, U.S. Department of Education, 600 Independence Avenue, S.W. (Room 5312, FB 10B), Washington, D.C. 20202.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Lynette Charboneau, Esq., Telephone: (202) 401-8292. Individuals who use a telecommunications device for the deaf (TDD) may call the Federal Information Relay Service (FIRS) at 1-800-877-8339 between 8 a.m. and 8 p.m., Eastern time, Monday through Friday.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION: The claim in question arose from an audit of the financial assistance programs of Alaska for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1991. The audit was performed by the Alaska Division of Legislative Audit, to fulfill the requirements of Office of Management and Budget Circular A-

128. The audit included an evaluation of the internal control systems used in administering Federal financial assistance programs.

Among the systems examined were Alaska's procedures for administering funds awarded under Title II of the Carl D. Perkins Vocational Education Act (Perkins Act), 20 U.S.C. 2331 (1988). The Perkins Act imposed specific requirements as to a State's allocation of Title II funds among State administration, Part A, and Part B. See 20 U.S.C. 2312 (1988). A State could reserve up to 7 percent of the total grant for Title II for State administration, including \$60,000 for sex equity administration, plus an additional amount by which the \$60,000 exceeded 1 percent of the State's total grant under Title II. After funds were reserved for administration, the State was required to allocate the remainder 57 percent to Part A and 43 percent to Part B. The 57 percent for Part A was to be further reserved to benefit specific targeted groups of students. See 20 U.S.C. 2332 (1988). Thus, if a State expended more than the allowable 7 percent on State administration, it would necessarily have to spend less than the mandated amount for Part A or Part B, or both.

The auditors found that Alaska's expenditures for State administration in Fiscal Years (FYs) 1990 and 1991 exceeded the 7 percent cap. Further, the auditors found that in FY 1990 the State's expenditures under Part B exceeded the allowable 43 percent. On October 19, 1994, the Department's Assistant Secretary for Vocational and Adult Education (Assistant Secretary) issued a program determination letter (PDL) sustaining the auditors' findings and requiring Alaska to repay \$414,657.72 for the amounts of the overfunding of State administration and Part B (and corresponding underfunding of Part A) in FYs 1990 and 1991.

Alaska filed a timely appeal with the Office of Administrative Law Judges (OALJ). After filing its brief and evidence, Alaska offered to settle the claim against it. The administrative law judge (ALJ) appointed to hear this appeal stayed the proceeding to allow counsel to seek formal approval of the tentative settlement.

Based on documentation submitted by Alaska during the course of the proceedings before the OALJ demonstrating that \$168,116.27 of the funds were allowable, the Assistant Secretary has decided to reduce the claim to \$246,541.45. The Department proposes to compromise the remaining claim for \$91,500. After receiving the PDL, Alaska submitted information directly to the Assistant Secretary to

show that its FSRs reflected reporting errors as to the amount of Title II funds expended for State administration. Additionally, during the course of its appeal to the OALJ, Alaska submitted voluminous evidence in an attempt to show that the State could have charged to Part A many of the overcharges to Part B because of the overlapping purposes and goals of those program authorities.

After consideration of the documentation and arguments presented by Alaska to the OALJ, the Assistant Secretary has decided to reduce the repayment demanded by \$168,116.27, and the Department proposes to settle the remaining claim of \$246,541.45 for \$91,500. Given the amount that would be repaid by Alaska under the settlement agreement, the additional documentation, and the litigation risks and costs of proceeding through the appeal process, the Department has determined that it would not be practical or in the public interest to continue this proceeding. Rather, under the authority provided in 20 U.S.C. § 1234a(j)(1), the Department has determined that a compromise of this claim for \$91,500 would be appropriate.

The public is invited to comment on the Department's intent to compromise this claim. Additional information may be obtained by writing to Lynette Charboneau at the address given at the beginning of this notice.

Program Authority: 20 U.S.C. 1234a(j).

Dated: April 1, 1996.

Donald R. Wurtz,

Chief Financial Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-8300 Filed 4-3-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4000-01-P

[CFDA No.: 84.263A]

Experimental and Innovative Training; Notice Inviting Applications for New Awards for Fiscal Year (FY) 1996

Purpose of Program: This program is designed—

(a) To develop new types of training programs for rehabilitation personnel and to demonstrate the effectiveness of these new types of training programs for rehabilitation personnel in providing rehabilitation services to individuals with disabilities; and

(b) To develop new and improved methods of training rehabilitation personnel so that there may be a more effective delivery of rehabilitation services by State and other rehabilitation agencies.

Eligible Applicants: State agencies and other public or nonprofit agencies