considered to exist, resulting in some risk of contamination.

Therefore, we are proposing that pork and pork products, as well as any ship's stores, airplane meals, and baggage containing such pork, offered for importation into the United States from The Netherlands be subject to the restrictions specified in § 94.13 of the regulations and to the applicable requirements contained in the regulations of the USDA's Food Safety and Inspection Service at 9 CFR chapter III. Section 94.13 requires, in part, that pork and pork products be: (1) Prepared in an inspected establishment that is eligible to have its products imported into the United States under the Federal Meat Inspection Act; and (2) accompanied by a foreign meat inspection certificate as well as a certification issued by a full-time salaried veterinary official of the national government of the exporting country, stating that certain precautions have been satisfied so that the pork or pork product has not been commingled with or exposed to animals, pork, or pork products originating in, or transported through, a country in which SVD is considered to exist.

Executive Order 12866 and Regulatory Flexibility Act

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12866. For this action, the Office of Management and Budget has waived its review process required by Executive Order 12866.

This proposed rule would amend the regulations in part 94 by adding The Netherlands to the lists of countries that have been declared free of hog cholera and SVD. This action would relieve certain restrictions on the importation of pork and pork products into the United States from The Netherlands. However, the importation of pork and pork products into the United States from The Netherlands would continue to be restricted because The Netherlands shares a common land border with Belgium, where SVD is considered to exist. While there are inspection and certification procedures for ensuring that commingling of pork and pork products from the two countries does not take place, these procedures are not without cost. Therefore, recognition of The Netherlands as free of hog cholera and SVD is not expected to significantly affect pork exports to the United States. The total value of pork exported to the United States from The Netherlands in 1994 was \$13.2 million (less than two percent of the value of all U.S. pork imports). There were no live swine exported from The Netherlands to the U.S. in 1994.

Under these circumstances, the Administrator of the Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service has determined that this action would not have a significant impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Executive Order 12778

This proposed rule has been reviewed under Executive Order 12778, Civil Justice Reform. If this proposed rule is adopted: (1) All State and local laws and regulations that are inconsistent with this rule will be preempted; (2) no retroactive effect will be given to this rule; and (3) administrative proceedings will not be required before parties may file suit in court challenging this rule.

Paperwork Reduction Act

This proposed rule contains no new information collection or recordkeeping requirements under the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3501 *et seq.*).

List of Subjects in 9 CFR Part 94

Animal diseases, Imports, Livestock, Meat and meat products, Milk, Poultry and poultry products, Reporting and recordkeeping requirements.

Accordingly, 9 CFR part 94 would be amended as follows:

PART 94—RINDERPEST, FOOT-AND-MOUTH DISEASE, FOWL PEST (FOWL PLAGUE), VELOGENIC VISCEROTROPIC NEWCASTLE DISEASE, AFRICAN SWINE FEVER, HOG CHOLERA, AND BOVINE SPONGIFORM ENCEPHALOPATHY: PROHIBITED AND RESTRICTED IMPORTATIONS

1. The authority citation for part 94 would continue to read as follows:

Authority: 7 U.S.C. 147a, 150ee, 161, 162, and 450; 19 U.S. C. 1306; 21 U.S.C. 111, 114a, 134a, 134b, 134c, 134f, 136, and 136a; 31 U.S.C. 9701; 42 U.S.C. 4331 and 4332; 7 CFR 2.22, 2.80, and 371.2(d).

§94.9 [Amended]

2. In § 94.9, paragraph (a) would be amended by adding "The Netherlands," immediately after "Iceland,".

§ 94.10 [Amended]

3. In § 94.10, paragraph (a) would be amended by adding "The Netherlands," immediately after "Iceland,".

§ 94.12 [Amended]

4. In § 94.12, paragraph (a) would be amended by adding "The Netherlands," immediately after "Mexico,".

§ 94.13 [Amended]

5. In § 94.13, the introductory text, the first sentence would be amended by $\,$

adding "The Netherlands," immediately after "Luxembourg".

Done in Washington, DC, this 1st day of April 1996.

Lonnie J. King,

Administrator, Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service.

[FR Doc. 96-8302 Filed 4-3-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 3410-34-P

DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION

Federal Aviation Administration

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-231-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Dornier Model 328–100 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking (NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to certain Dornier Model 328–100 series airplanes. This proposal would require replacement of a bus power control unit (BPCU) and two generator control units (GCU) with new improved units. This proposal is prompted by results of the manufacturer's re-certification and laboratory testing of a BPCU, which revealed abnormal functions of the BPCU and the GCU. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to prevent such abnormal functions, which could result in electrical short circuits in the electrical power distribution systems and a subsequent fire.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 15, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM–103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–NM–231–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Dornier Luftfahrt GmbH, P.O. Box 1103, D–82230 Wessling, Germany. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington.

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Gary Lium, Aerospace Engineer,

Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (206) 227–1112; fax (206) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 95–NM–231–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–NM-231–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

Discussion

The Luftfahrt-Bundesamt (LBA), which is the airworthiness authority for Germany, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on certain Dornier Model 328–100 series airplanes. The LBA advises that results of the manufacturer's re-certification and laboratory testing of an upgraded/ modified bus powered control unit (BPCU) revealed certain abnormal functions. These abnormalities include extended duration of the transfer of the essential bus (ESS BUS), chattering of contacts, and various problems that occurred during a cold cross start. Subsequent observations also revealed

abnormal functions of two generator control units (GCU); these abnormalities included unsatisfactory engine starting with reduced battery voltage, and improper separation of the DC generator. Such abnormal functioning, if not corrected, could cause electrical short circuits in the electrical power distribution systems, and a subsequent fire.

Dornier has issued Service Bulletin SB-328-24-061, Revision 1, dated November 3, 1994, which describes procedures for replacement of GCU's 2PC and 12PC with new improved units having part number 118-000-1. The service bulletin also describes procedures for replacement of BPCU 20PC with a new improved unit having part number 106-000-3. The LBA classified this service bulletin as mandatory and issued German airworthiness directive 94-349, dated November 14, 1994, in order to assure the continued airworthiness of these airplanes in Germany.

This airplane model is manufactured in Germany and is type certificated for operation in the United States under the provisions of section 21.29 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.29) and the applicable bilateral airworthiness agreement. Pursuant to this bilateral airworthiness agreement, the LBA has kept the FAA informed of the situation described above. The FAA has examined the findings of the LBA, reviewed all available information, and determined that AD action is necessary for products of this type design that are

certificated for operation in the United

States.

Since an unsafe condition has been identified that is likely to exist or develop on other airplanes of the same type design, the proposed AD would require replacement of the GCU's 2PC and 12PC with new improved units having part number 118–000–1. The proposed AD would also require replacement of the BPCU 20PC with a new improved unit having part number 106–000–3. The actions would be required to be accomplished in accordance with the service bulletin described previously.

The FAA estimates that 12 airplanes of U.S. registry would be affected by this proposed AD, that it would take approximately 1 work hours per airplane to accomplish the proposed actions, and that the average labor rate is \$60 per work hour. The manufacturer would provide required parts at no cost to the operators. Based on these figures, the cost impact of the proposed AD on U.S. operators is estimated to be \$720, or \$60 per airplane.

The cost impact figure discussed above is based on assumptions that no operator has yet accomplished any of the proposed requirements of this AD action, and that no operator would accomplish those actions in the future if this AD were not adopted.

The regulations proposed herein would not have substantial direct effects on the States, on the relationship between the national government and the States, or on the distribution of power and responsibilities among the various levels of government. Therefore, in accordance with Executive Order 12612, it is determined that this proposal would not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

For the reasons discussed above, I certify that this proposed regulation (1) is not a "significant regulatory action" under Executive Order 12866; (2) is not a "significant rule" under the DOT Regulatory Policies and Procedures (44 FR 11034, February 26, 1979); and (3) if promulgated, will not have a significant economic impact, positive or negative, on a substantial number of small entities under the criteria of the Regulatory Flexibility Act. A copy of the draft regulatory evaluation prepared for this action is contained in the Rules Docket. A copy of it may be obtained by contacting the Rules Docket at the location provided under the caption ADDRESSES.

List of Subjects in 14 CFR Part 39

Air transportation, Aircraft, Aviation safety, Safety.

The Proposed Amendment

Accordingly, pursuant to the authority delegated to me by the Administrator, the Federal Aviation Administration proposes to amend part 39 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR part 39) as follows:

PART 39—AIRWORTHINESS DIRECTIVES

1. The authority citation for part 39 continues to read as follows:

Authority: 49 USC 106(g), 40113, 44701.

§ 39.13 [Amended]

2. Section 39.13 is amended by adding the following new airworthiness directive:

Dornier: Docket 95-NM-231-AD.

Applicability: Model 328–100 series airplanes having serial numbers 3005 through 3024 inclusive; certificated in any category.

Note 1: This AD applies to each airplane identified in the preceding applicability provision, regardless of whether it has been

modified, altered, or repaired in the area subject to the requirements of this AD. For airplanes that have been modified, altered, or repaired so that the performance of the requirements of this AD is affected, the owner/operator must request approval for an alternative method of compliance in accordance with paragraph (b) of this AD. The request should include an assessment of the effect of the modification, alteration, or repair on the unsafe condition addressed by this AD; and, if the unsafe condition has not been eliminated, the request should include specific proposed actions to address it.

Compliance: Required as indicated, unless accomplished previously.

To prevent abnormal functions of the bus power control units and the generator control units, which could result in electrical short circuits in the electrical power distribution systems and a subsequent fire; accomplish the following:

- (a) Within 3 months after the effective date of this AD, perform the requirements of paragraph (a)(1) and (a)(2) of this AD, in accordance with Dornier Service Bulletin SB–328–24–061, Revision 1, dated November 3, 1994.
- (1) Remove the generator control units 2PC and 12PC and replace them with new improved units having part number 118–000–1. And,
- (2) Remove bus power control unit 20PC and replace it with a new improved unit having part number 106–000–3.
- (b) An alternative method of compliance or adjustment of the compliance time that provides an acceptable level of safety may be used if approved by the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate. Operators shall submit their requests through an appropriate FAA Principal Maintenance Inspector, who may add comments and then send it to the Manager, Standardization Branch, ANM–113.

Note 2: Information concerning the existence of approved alternative methods of compliance with this AD, if any, may be obtained from the Standardization Branch, ANM-113.

(c) Special flight permits may be issued in accordance with sections 21.197 and 21.199 of the Federal Aviation Regulations (14 CFR 21.197 and 21.199) to operate the airplane to a location where the requirements of this AD can be accomplished.

Issued in Renton, Washington, on March 29, 1996.

Bill R. Boxwell.

Acting Manager, Transport Airplane Directorate, Aircraft Certification Service. [FR Doc. 96–8294 Filed 4–3–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4910–13–U

14 CFR Part 39

[Docket No. 95-NM-152-AD]

Airworthiness Directives; Fokker Model F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 Series Airplanes

AGENCY: Federal Aviation Administration, DOT.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking

(NPRM).

SUMMARY: This document proposes the adoption of a new airworthiness directive (AD) that is applicable to all Fokker Model F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes. This proposal would require modification of the passenger door lock warning system. This proposal is prompted by reports that the passenger door opened during flight due to an improperly locked door; additionally, the door warning signal was not sufficiently visible to alert the flight crew of this condition. The actions specified by the proposed AD are intended to ensure that the flight crew is aware of an unlocked passenger door prior to takeoff of the airplane. This condition, if not corrected, could result in inadvertent opening of the passenger door while the airplane is in flight.

DATES: Comments must be received by May 10, 1996.

ADDRESSES: Submit comments in triplicate to the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA), Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95-NM-152-AD, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055-4056. Comments may be inspected at this location between 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, except Federal holidays.

The service information referenced in the proposed rule may be obtained from Fokker Aircraft USA, Inc., 1199 North Fairfax Street, Alexandria, Virginia 22314. This information may be examined at the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue, SW., Renton, Washington. FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Tim

Dulin, Aerospace Engineer, Standardization Branch, ANM–113, FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056; telephone (206) 227–2141; fax (206) 227–1149.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Comments Invited

Interested persons are invited to participate in the making of the proposed rule by submitting such written data, views, or arguments as they may desire. Communications shall identify the Rules Docket number and be submitted in triplicate to the address specified above. All communications received on or before the closing date for comments, specified above, will be considered before taking action on the proposed rule. The proposals contained in this notice may be changed in light of the comments received.

Comments are specifically invited on the overall regulatory, economic, environmental, and energy aspects of the proposed rule. All comments submitted will be available, both before and after the closing date for comments, in the Rules Docket for examination by interested persons. A report summarizing each FAA-public contact concerned with the substance of this proposal will be filed in the Rules Docket.

Commenters wishing the FAA to acknowledge receipt of their comments submitted in response to this notice must submit a self-addressed, stamped postcard on which the following statement is made: "Comments to Docket Number 95–NM–152–AD." The postcard will be date stamped and returned to the commenter.

Availability of NPRMs

Any person may obtain a copy of this NPRM by submitting a request to the FAA, Transport Airplane Directorate, ANM-103, Attention: Rules Docket No. 95–NM-152–AD, 1601 Lind Avenue SW., Renton, Washington 98055–4056.

Discussion

The Rijksluchtvaartdienst (RLD), which is the airworthiness authority for the Netherlands, recently notified the FAA that an unsafe condition may exist on all Fokker Model F28 Mark 1000, 2000, 3000, and 4000 series airplanes. The RLD advises that it has received reports indicating that the passenger door of the airplane opened during flight on several occasions. Investigation revealed that the door had been improperly locked, and a door warning signal was not sufficiently visible to alert the flight crew that the door was unsecured. This condition, if not corrected, could result in inadvertent opening of the passenger door while the airplane is in flight.

Fokker has issued Service Bulletins F28/52–112, dated February 1, 1995, and F28/52–101, Revision 1, dated August 24, 1992, which describe procedures for modification of the passenger door lock warning system. The modification specified in Fokker Service Bulletin F28/52–112 (for airplanes on which the passenger door lock warning system is in a pre-SBF28/