

beneficiaries of the plan and in a prudent fashion in accordance with section 404(a)(1)(B) of the Act; nor does it affect the requirement of section 401(a) of the Code that the plan must operate for the exclusive benefit of the employees of the employer maintaining the plan and their beneficiaries;

(2) These exemptions are supplemental to and not in derogation of, any other provisions of the Act and/or the Code, including statutory or administrative exemptions and transactional rules. Furthermore, the fact that a transaction is subject to an administrative or statutory exemption is not dispositive of whether the transaction is in fact a prohibited transaction; and

(3) The availability of these exemptions is subject to the express condition that the material facts and representations contained in each application accurately describes all material terms of the transaction which is the subject of the exemption.

Signed at Washington, D.C., this 29th day of March, 1996.

Ivan Strasfeld,

*Director of Exemption Determinations
Pension and Welfare Benefits Administration,
U.S. Department of Labor.*

[FR Doc. 96-8137 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4510-29-P

NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION

Collection of Information Submission for OMB Review; Comments Requested by April 16, 1996, Title of Proposed Collection, "Science Resources Studies Customer Satisfaction Survey"

In compliance with the requirement of Section 3506(c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995, on Tuesday, April 11, 1995, Federal Register, Vol. 60, No. 69 18427, the National Science Foundation (NSF) published, for public comment, a proposed generic clearance for collection of information, "generic Clearance—NSF Surveys to Measure Customer Satisfaction." *No Public comments were received.* A proposed collection to be considered under that generic clearance is being forwarded to the Office of Management and Budget for consideration. Comments on the proposed data collection plans and instruments may be directed to OMB at the following address: Office of Management and Budget, IRA, ATTN.: Jonathan Winer, New Executive Office Building, Room 3208, Washington, DC 20503.

Written comments should be received by April 16, 1996.

Abstract: This survey is to be directed at actual and potential users of NSF's science and engineering data and analyses. It is not intended to develop a national sampling frame representing this entire community. Instead, it shall focus on a smaller group of actual and potential users with some well defined pertinent characteristics. The primary objective of this survey is to determine the kind and quality of science and engineering policy information desired by these users and their level of satisfaction with existing information.

Respondents and burden hours: 200 respondents at approximately 30 minutes per response.

Dated: March 28, 1996.

Herman G. Fleming,

NSF Clearance Officer.

[FR Doc. 96-8073 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7555-01-M

NUCLEAR REGULATORY COMMISSION

Agency Information Collection Activities: Submission for OMB Review; Comment Request

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of information collection and solicitation of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision/Extension.
2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Part 100, Appendix A, "Seismic and Geologic Siting Criteria for Nuclear Power Plants."
3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.
4. How often the collection is required: As necessary in order for NRC to assess the adequacy of proposed seismic design bases and the design bases for other geological hazards for nuclear power plants constructed and licensed in accordance with 10 CFR Part 50 and the Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: Applicants and licensees for nuclear power plants.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: 1.

7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 2.

8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 10,000.

9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Pub. L. 104-13 applies: Not applicable.

10. **Abstract:** Utilities that propose to build and operate nuclear power plants are required to design, construct, and maintain those plants to withstand geologic hazards, such as faulting, seismic hazards, and the maximum credible earthquake, to protect the health and safety of the public and the environment. NRC uses the information required by 10 CFR Part 100, Appendix A, to assess the adequacy of proposed seismic design bases and the design bases for other geological hazards for nuclear power plants.

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW (Lower Level), Washington, DC. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access the submittal via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advanced Copy Document Library) NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703-321-3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1-800-303-9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). The document will be available on the bulletin board for 30 days after the signature date of this notice. If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703-487-4608. Additional assistance in locating the document is available from the NRC Public Document Room, nationally at 1-800-397-4209, or within the Washington, DC, area at 202-634-3273.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by May 3, 1996: Peter Francis, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150-0093), NEOB-10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of March 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 Gerald F. Cranford,
*Designated Senior Official for Information
 Resources Management.*
 [FR Doc. 96-8102 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]
 BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

**Agency Information Collection
 Activities: Submission for OMB
 Review; Comment Request**

AGENCY: Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission (NRC).

ACTION: Notice of the OMB review of
 information collection and solicitation
 of public comment.

SUMMARY: The NRC has recently
 submitted to OMB for review the
 following proposal for the collection of
 information under the provisions of the
 Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44
 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby
 informs potential respondents that an
 agency may not conduct or sponsor, and
 that a person is not required to respond
 to, a collection of information unless it
 displays a currently valid OMB control
 number.

1. Type of submission, new, revision,
 or extension: Revision/Extension.
2. The title of the information
 collection: Exercise of Discretion for an
 Operating Facility, NRC Enforcement
 Policy (NUREG-1600).
3. The form number if applicable: Not
 applicable.
4. How often the collection is
 required: On occasion.
5. Who will be required or asked to
 report: Nuclear power reactor licensees.
6. An estimate of the number of
 responses: 1.
7. The estimated number of annual
 respondents: 36.
8. An estimate of the total number of
 hours needed annually to complete the
 requirement or request: 2,160.
9. An indication of whether Section
 3507(d), Pub. L. 104-13 applies: Not
 applicable.
10. Abstract: The NRC's revised
 Enforcement Policy includes the
 circumstances in which the NRC may
 exercise enforcement discretion. This
 enforcement discretion is designated as
 a Notice of Enforcement Discretion
 (NOED) and relates to circumstances
 which may arise where a licensee's
 compliance with a Technical
 Specification Limiting Condition for
 Operation or with other license
 conditions would involve an
 unnecessary plant transient or
 performance of testing, inspection, or
 system realignment that is inappropriate
 for the specific plant conditions, or
 unnecessary delays in plant startup

without a corresponding health and
 safety benefit. A licensee seeking the
 issuance of a NOED must provide a
 written justification, which documents
 the safety basis for the request and
 provides whatever other information the
 NRC staff deems necessary to decide
 whether or not to exercise discretion.

A copy of the submittal may be
 viewed free of charge at the NRC Public
 Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW
 (Lower Level), Washington, DC.
 Members of the public who are in the
 Washington, DC, area can access the
 submittal via modem on the Public
 Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's
 Advanced Copy Document Library) NRC
 subsystem at FedWorld, 703-321-3339.
 Members of the public who are located
 outside of the Washington, DC, area can
 dial FedWorld, 1-800-303-9672, or use
 the FedWorld Internet address:
 fedworld.gov (Telnet). The document
 will be available on the bulletin board
 for 30 days after the signature date of
 this notice. If assistance is needed in
 accessing the document, please contact
 the FedWorld help desk at 703-487-
 4608. Additional assistance in locating
 the document is available from the NRC
 Public Document Room, nationally at 1-
 800-397-4209, or within the
 Washington, DC, area at 202-634-3273.

Comments and questions should be
 directed to the OMB reviewer by May 3,
 1996: Peter Francis, Office of
 Information and Regulatory Affairs
 (3150-0136), NEOB-10202, Office of
 Management and Budget, Washington,
 DC 20503.

Comments can also be submitted by
 telephone at (202) 395-3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda
 Jo. Shelton, (301) 415-7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day
 of March 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission.
 Gerald F. Cranford,
*Designated Senior Official for Information
 Resources Management.*

[FR Doc. 96-8103 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

[Docket Nos. 50-498 and 50-499]

**Houston Lighting and Power
 Company, City Public Service Board of
 San Antonio Central Power and Light
 Company, City of Austin, Texas; Notice
 of Consideration of Issuance of
 Amendments to Facility Operating
 Licenses; Proposed Involves No
 Significant Hazards; Consideration,
 Determination, and Opportunity for a
 Hearing**

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory
 Commission (the Commission) is

considering issuance of an amendment
 to Facility Operating License Nos. NPF-
 76 and NPF-80, issued to Houston
 Lighting & Power Company, et. al., (the
 licensee) for operation of the South
 Texas Project, Units 1 & 2, located in
 Matagorda County, Texas. The original
 application dated May 30, 1995, was
 previously published in the Federal
 Register on July 19, 1995 (60 FR 37092).
 That application was supplemented by
 letter dated February 8, 1996.

The proposed amendment would
 increase the spent fuel pool heat load
 licensing basis to provide greater
 flexibility for normal refueling practices.

Before issuance of the proposed
 license amendment, the Commission
 will have made findings required by the
 Atomic Energy Act of 1954, as amended
 (the Act) and the Commission's
 regulations.

The Commission has made a
 proposed determination that the
 amendment request involves no
 significant hazards consideration. Under
 the Commission's regulations in 10 CFR
 50.92, this means that operation of the
 facility in accordance with the proposed
 amendment would not (1) involve a
 significant increase in the probability or
 consequences of an accident previously
 evaluated; or (2) create the possibility of
 a new or different kind of accident from
 any accident previously evaluated; or
 (3) involve a significant reduction in a
 margin of safety. As required by 10 CFR
 50.91(a), the licensee has provided its
 analysis of the issue of no significant
 hazards consideration, which is
 presented below:

1. The proposed changes do not involve a
 significant increase in the probability or
 consequences of an accident previously
 evaluated because:

(a) The Spent Fuel Pool conditions are not
 indicative of accident initiators.

(b) Design and operability requirements of
 equipment important to safety are not
 affected.

(c) Spent Fuel Pool boiling will not occur
 and the Spent Fuel Pool components will
 remain within their design bases.

(d) The complete loss of Spent Fuel Pool
 cooling event has previously been analyzed
 and described in Supplement 6 to the Safety
 Evaluation Report, Appendix BB. The dose
 consequences for this event have been
 evaluated and the safety evaluation is
 described in Updated Final Safety Analysis
 Report Section 9.1.3.3.4. The results of the
 evaluation show that the Spent Fuel Pool
 components would remain within their
 design bases. Also, the dose consequences of
 iodine release as a result of Spent Fuel Pool
 boiling are significantly below the allowable
 dose limits of 10 CFR 100.

2. The proposed changes do not create the
 possibility of a new or different kind of
 accident from any accident previously
 because: