

As a Potential User

A. Can you use data at the levels of detail indicated on the form?

B. For what purpose would you use the data? Be specific.

C. Are there alternate sources of data and do you use them? If so, what are their deficiencies and/or strengths?

D. For the most part, information is published by EIA in U.S. customary units, e.g., cubic feet of natural gas, short tons of coal, and barrels of oil. Would you prefer to see EIA publish more information in metric units, e.g., cubic meters, metric tons, and kilograms? If yes, please specify what information (e.g., coal production, natural gas consumption, and crude oil imports), the metric unit(s) of measurement preferred, and in which EIA publication(s) you would like to see such information.

Comments submitted in response to this notice will be summarized and/or included in the request for OMB approval of the form. They also will become a matter of public record.

Statutory Authority: Section 3506 (c)(2)(A) of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (Public Law No. 104-13).

Issued in Washington, DC March 27, 1996.
Yvonne M. Bishop,

*Director, Office of Statistical Standards
Energy Information Administration.*

[FR Doc. 96-8133 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6450-01-P

Federal Energy Regulatory Commission

[Docket No. RP96-178-000]

Cove Point LNG Limited Partnership; Notice of Filing

March 28, 1996.

Take notice that on March 15, 1996, pursuant to Section 1.27 of the General Terms and Condition (GT&C) of Cove Point LNG Limited Partnership (Cove Point) FERC Gas Tariff, First Revised Volume No. 1, filed with the Commission its revised retainage schedule and tariff to be effective April 16.

Cove Point states that the retainage for Cove Point's three peaking services was in excess of the 20.5 percent retainage cap for peaking services provided in GT&C section 1.27. Cove Point states the schedule attached to the filing shows that retainage levels for transportation services for the effective point were 2.86 percent. Cove Point states that the later figures is known to be inaccurate in that Cove Point experienced a significant problem with the meters at the Washington Gas (Cove Point's primary

transportation customer) delivery points off of the Cove Point pipeline. Cove Point further states that instead of increasing the existing transportation retainage based on the currently available data, Cove Point proposes to defer any adjustment in the transportation retainage percentage until the inaccuracies are rectified.

Cove Point states that (i) there is no change in the filed retainage levels to be made effective April 16, 1996; and (ii) at such time as Cove Point has ascertained more accurate meter readings regarding transportation volumes it will, to the extent a variance in actual versus collected retainage exists, file a revised retainage schedule.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 18 CFR 385.214 and 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such motions or protests should be filed on or before April 4, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the public reference room.
Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-8066 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP95-374-004]

Gas Research Institute; Notice of Petition To Amend GRI's 1996 RD&D Program

March 28, 1996.

Take notice that on March 22, 1996, the Gas Research Institute (GRI) filed a petition requesting expedited approval of its proposal to amend its approved 1996 RD&D Program. In its petition, GRI states that it now anticipates an approximately 20 percent reduction in 1996 revenues due to decontracting and greater than anticipated discounting and, therefore, proposes to reduce its RD&D Program Obligations Budget from the approved 1996 level of \$218.8 million to \$174.8 million. GRI also requests approval of one-time staff severance and restructuring costs of \$2.0 million, associated with an approximately 20 percent cut in GRI staffing.

GRI requests approval to utilize its proposed amended 1996 Program Obligations Budget of \$174.8 million to fund R&D obligations of \$151.0 million and general operating expenses of \$23.8 million. GRI states that it expects to be able to fund this reduced budget with the currently effective GRI surcharges.

GRI proposes to maintain the overall balance of the approved 1996 program in the amended 1996 program, and therefore proposes to allocate reduced budgets for contract R&D and directly associated R&D management costs on a roughly *pro rata* basis across the overall objectives of GRI's RD&D program.

A detailed description of GRI's proposed revision of its approved 1996 R&D Program budget, including its plan to eliminate 1996 funding for seventeen approved new projects, and to fund eight additional projects that were not previously included in its 1996 R&D Program, is set forth in the text of GRI's petition and its attachments.

In a supplemental letter to its filing, GRI clarifies that it is not seeking Commission approval to fund the eight additional projects. According to GRI, these programs are being implemented using existing authority under Stipulation No. 6 and are only included in the revised budget request filing to give the Commission, all intervenors and other interested parties a complete picture of GRI's current funding plans and priorities.

Any person desiring to be heard or to make any protest with reference to said petition should file a petition to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with the requirements of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214) and the Regulations under the NGA (18 CFR 157.10). All such petition or protests must be filed on or before April 4, 1996. All protests filed with the Commission will be considered by it in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make the protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party to a proceeding or to participate as a party in any hearing therein must file a petition to intervene in accordance with the Commission's Rules.

Lois D. Cashell,

Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-8067 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. MG96-9-000]

KO Transmission Co.; Notice of Filing

March 28, 1996.

Take notice that on March 19, 1996, KO Transmission Company (KO) filed standards of conduct under section 161.3 of the Commission's regulations, 18 CFR 161.3, and to comply with the Commission's February 5, 1996 order in Docket No. CP95-149-000. 74 FERC ¶ 61,101.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protect said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 or 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before April 15, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-8069 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. RP93-49-005]

Paiute Pipeline Company; Notice of Report of Billings and Refunds

March 28, 1996.

Take notice that on March 18, 1996, Paiute Pipeline Company (Paiute) tendered for filing a Report of Billings and Refunds detailing the amounts billed and refunded to each customer as of February 15, 1996, in accordance with the Offer of Settlement filed on November 9, 1995, and approved by the Commission's order issued January 22, 1996, in Docket Nos. RP93-49-000 and RP93-49-003.

Paiute states that this filing is being made to comply with Section 3.1 of the Settlement. The Settlement offer resolves the allocation among Paiute's customers of the direct-billed take-or-pay buyout and buydown costs charged to Paiute by its upstream supplier, Northwest Pipeline Corporation.

Paiute states that copies of the report are being served upon all of Paiute's customers and interested state regulatory commissions, as well as upon all parties in Docket No. RP93-49-000, *et al.*

Any person desiring to protest this filing should file a protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, NE, Washington, DC 20426, in accordance with 385.211 of the Commission's Rules and Regulations. All such protests should be filed on or before April 4, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken, but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Copies of this filing are on file with the Commission and are available for public inspection in the Public Reference Room.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-8068 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. MG96-2-001]

Sea Robin Pipeline Company; Notice of Filing

March 28, 1996.

Take notice that on March 21, 1996, Sea Robin Pipeline Company (Sea Robin) submitted revised standards of conduct under Orders Nos. 566 *et seq.*¹ Sea Robin states that it is revising its standards of conduct to incorporate the changes required by the Commission's February 20, 1996 Order On Standards of Conduct.²

Sea Robin states that it has mailed copies of this filing to all of its shippers and interested state Commissions.

Any person desiring to be heard or to protest said filing should file a motion to intervene or protest with the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission, 888 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC, 20426, in accordance with Rules 211 or 214 of the Commission's Rules of Practice and Procedure (18 CFR 385.211 or 385.214). All such motions to intervene or protest should be filed on or before April 15, 1996. Protests will be considered by the Commission in determining the appropriate action to be taken but will not serve to make protestants parties to the proceeding. Any person wishing to become a party must file a motion to intervene. Copies of this filing are on file with the

¹ Standards of Conduct and Reporting Requirements for Transportation and Affiliate Transactions, Order No. 566, 59 FR 32885 (June 27, 1994), III FERC Stats. & Regs. ¶30,997 (June 17, 1994); Order No. 566-A, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 52896 (October 20, 1994), 69 FERC ¶61,044 (October 14, 1994); Order No. 566-B, *order on rehearing*, 59 FR 65707 (December 21, 1994); 69 FERC ¶61,334 (December 14, 1994).

² 74 FERC ¶61,173 (1996).

Commission and are available for public inspection.

Lois D. Cashell,
Secretary.

[FR Doc. 96-8070 Filed 4-2-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 6717-01-M

[Docket No. CP94-753-002]

United Cities Gas Company; Notice of Petition To Amend

March 28, 1996.

Take notice that on March 19, 1996, United Cities Gas Company (United Cities), 5300 Maryland Way, Brentwood, Tennessee 37027, filed in Docket No. CP94-753-002 a petition pursuant to Section 7(c) of the Natural Gas Act to amend its certificate issued in Docket No. CP94-753-000,¹ to add additional fields to its certificated storage facilities, all as more fully set forth in the petition on file with the Commission and open to public inspection.

United Cities proposes to add to its certificated storage facilities the following four storage fields: the Liberty North and Liberty South Fields in Montgomery County, Kansas, and the Buffalo and Fredonia Fields in Wilson County, Kansas. United Cities states that, like Barnsley, these fields are owned by United Cities Storage Company, a subsidiary of United Cities, which leases all of the capacity in these fields to United Cities. United Cities advises that, to date, it has operated these four fields solely in support of its local distribution function in Kansas.

United Cities states that Woodward Marketing, L.L.C. (Woodward) would now like to lease part of the capacity in the Kansas storage facilities and use it for its system management in the same way it uses the capacity in Barnsley.² United Cities further states that with the exception of the location of the leased storage capacity, all other aspects of the certificated operations would remain unchanged and still limited to one party: Woodward. It is further stated that Woodward intends to use the leased capacity solely for its own benefit (1) to balance its gas supply portfolio, (2) to enhance its operational capabilities, and (3) to enable it to

¹ By order issued September 20, 1994, United Cities was granted a limited-jurisdiction certificate authorizing the transportation of natural gas in interstate commerce limited to operations involving the Barnsley Storage Field (Barnsley) in Hopkins County, Kentucky (68 FERC ¶61,334 (1994)).

² On June 28, 1995, an order was issued in Docket No. CP94-753-001 authorizing the substitution of Woodward for Sonat Marketing Company as the lessee of storage capacity in Barnsley (71 FERC ¶62,220 (1995)).