summary: The NRC has recently submitted to OMB for review the following proposal for the collection of information under the provisions of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. Chapter 35). The NRC hereby informs potential respondents that an agency may not conduct or sponsor, and that a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless it displays a currently valid OMB control number.

Information pertaining to the requirement to be submitted:

1. Type of submission, new, revision, or extension: Revision.

2. The title of the information collection: 10 CFR Parts 20 Standards for Protection Against Radiation.

3. The form number if applicable: Not applicable.

4. How often the collection is required: Annually.

5. Who will be required or asked to report: NRC licensees.

6. An estimate of the number of responses: 473.

7. The estimated number of annual respondents: 773.

8. An estimate of the total number of hours needed annually to complete the requirement or request: 209,605.

9. An indication of whether Section 3507(d), Public Law 104–13 applies: Not

applicable.

10. Abstract: 10 CFR Part 20 establishes standards for protection against ionizing radiation resulting from activities conducted under licenses issued by the NRC. These standards in part require the establishment of radiation protection programs, the maintenance of radiation records, the recording of radiation received by workers, the reporting of incidents which could cause exposure to radiation and the submittal of an annual report to NRC of the results of individual monitoring. These mandatory requirements are needed to protect occupationally exposed individuals from undue risks of excessive exposure to ionizing radiation and to protect the health and safety of the public.

A copy of the submittal may be viewed free of charge at the NRC Public Document Room, 2120 L Street, NW., (Lower Level), Washington, DC. Members of the public who are in the Washington, DC, area can access the submittal via modem on the Public Document Room Bulletin Board (NRC's Advanced Copy Document Library) NRC subsystem at FedWorld, 703–321–3339. Members of the public who are located outside of the Washington, DC, area can dial FedWorld, 1–800–303–9672, or use the FedWorld Internet address: fedworld.gov (Telnet). The document

will be available on the bulletin board for 30 days after the signature date of this notice. If assistance is needed in accessing the document, please contact the FedWorld help desk at 703–487–4608. Additional assistance in locating the document is available from the NRC Public Document Room, nationally at 1–800–397–4209, or within the Washington, DC, area at 202–634–3273.

Comments and questions should be directed to the OMB reviewer by April 15, 1996.

Peter Francis, Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (3150–0014), NEOB–10202, Office of Management and Budget, Washington, DC 20503 Comments can also be submitted by telephone at (202) 395–3084.

The NRC Clearance Officer is Brenda Jo Shelton, (301) 415–7233.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 7th day of March 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Gerald F. Cranford,

Designated Senior Official for Information Resources Management.

[FR Doc. 96–6227 Filed 3–14–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

#### [Docket No. 50-255]

## Consumers Power Company Palisades Plant; Environmental Assessment and Finding Of No Significant Impact

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (the Commission) is considering issuance of an amendment to Facility Operating License No. DPR– 20, issued to Consumers Power Company, (the licensee), for operation of the Palisades Plant, located in Van Buren County, Michigan.

#### **Environmental Assessment**

#### Identification of the Proposed Action

The proposed action would modify the Palisades Facility Operating License by deleting references to specific amendments and specific revisions in the listed titles of the Physical Security Plan, the Suitability Training and Qualification Plan, and the Safeguards Contingency Plan, and make minor editorial changes to the license.

The proposed action is in accordance with the licensee's application for amendment dated October 17, 1995.

#### The Need for the Proposed Action

The proposed action is needed to: (1) Eliminate the implication that the Facility Operating License must be amended when any Physical Security Plan, Suitability Training and Qualification Plan, or Safeguards Contingency Plan revision is approved;

and (2) eliminate redundancies and inconsistencies throughout the license.

Environmental Impacts of the Proposed Action

The Commission has completed its evaluation of the proposed action and concludes that the proposed amendment will eliminate the need to amend the license whenever a security. training, or safeguards plan is revised, and provide for editorial changes which serve to make the license easier to read. The Palisades Facility Operating License still requires the licensee to implement and maintain in effect all provisions (including all approved amendments) of the Commissionapproved Palisades Plant Physical Security Plan, Suitability Training and Qualification Plan, and the Plant Safeguards Contingency Plan. Examples of minor changes to the license include the use of consistent abbreviations for the Commission and the licensee, punctuation of series consistent with NUREG-1379, "NRC Editorial Style Guide," and removal of paragraph titles for consistency in format.

The change will not increase the probability or consequences of accidents, no changes are being made in the types of any effluents that may be released offsite, and there is no significant increase in the allowable individual or cumulative occupational radiation exposure. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant radiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

With regard to potential nonradiological impacts, the proposed action does involve features located entirely within the restricted area as defined in 10 CFR Part 20. It does not affect nonradiological plant effluents and has no other environmental impact. Accordingly, the Commission concludes that there are no significant nonradiological environmental impacts associated with the proposed action.

## Alternatives to the Proposed Action

Since the Commission has concluded there is no measurable environmental impact associated with the proposed action, any alternatives with equal or greater environmental impact need not be evaluated. As an alternative to the proposed action, the staff considered denial of the proposed action. Denial of the application would result in no change in current environmental impacts. The environmental impacts of the proposed action and the alternative action are similar.

#### Alternative Use of Resources

This action does not involve the use of any resources not previously considered in the Final Environmental Statement for the Palisades Plant.

### Agencies and Persons Consulted

In accordance with its stated policy, on January 31, 1996, the staff consulted with the Michigan State official, Dennis Hahn of the Michigan Department of Public Health, Nuclear Facilities and Environmental Monitoring, regarding the environmental impact of the proposed action. The State official had no comments.

## Finding of No Significant Impact

Based upon the environmental assessment, the Commission concludes that the proposed action will not have a significant effect on the quality of the human environment. Accordingly, the Commission has determined not to prepare an environmental impact statement for the proposed action.

For further details with respect to the proposed action, see the licensee's letter dated October 17, 1995, which is available for public inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, The Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW., Washington, DC, and at the local public document room located at the Van Wylen Library, Hope College, Holland, Michigan, 49201.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of March 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Timothy G. Colburn,

Acting Director Project Directorate III-1, Division of Reactor Projects—III/IV, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation

[FR Doc. 96–6210 Filed 3–14–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

## Availability of Draft License Renewal Demonstration Program Site Visit Plan

The U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission (NRC) has developed a site visit plan for the License Renewal Demonstration Program (LRDP). A copy of the site visit plan has been placed in the NRC Public Document Room, the Gelman Building, 2120 L Street, NW, Washington, DC 20555.

For further information regarding the LRDP, contact Robert J. Prato, Office for Nuclear Reactor Regulation, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555, telephone: (301) 415–1147.

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 11th day of March 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Scott F. Newberry,

Director, License Renewal and Environmental Review Project Directorate, Division of Reactor Program Management, Office of Nuclear Reactor Regulation.

[FR Doc. 96–6209 Filed 3–14–96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590–01–P

# Regulatory Guide; Issuance, Availability

The Nuclear Regulatory Commission has issued a revision to a guide in its Regulatory Guide Series. This series has been developed to describe and make available to the public such information as methods acceptable to the NRC staff for implementing specific parts of the Commission's regulations, techniques used by the staff in evaluating specific problems or postulated accidents, and data needed by the staff in its review of applications for permits and licenses.

Revision 1 of Regulatory Guide 8.29, "Instruction Concerning Risks from Occupational Radiation Exposure," describes the information that should be provided to workers by licensees about health risks from occupational exposure.

Comments and suggestions in connection with items for inclusion in guides currently being developed or improvements in all published guides are encouraged at any time. Written comments may be submitted to the Rules Review and Directives Branch, Division of Freedom of Information and Publications Services, Office of Administration, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555–0001.

Regulatory guides are available for inspection at the Commission's Public Document Room, 2120 L Street NW., Washington, DC. Single copies of regulatory guides may be obtained free of charge by writing the Office of Administration, Attention: Distribution and Services Section, U.S. Nuclear Regulatory Commission, Washington, DC 20555-0001, or by fax at (301)415-2260. Issued guides may also be purchased from the National Technical Information Service on a standing order basis. Details on this service may be obtained by writing NTIS, 5285 Port Royal Road, Springfield, VA 22161. Regulatory guides are not copyrighted, and Commission approval is not required to reproduce them. (5 U.S.C. 552(a))

Dated at Rockville, Maryland, this 27th day of February 1996.

For the Nuclear Regulatory Commission. Joseph A. Murphy,

Executive Assistant to the Director, Office of Nuclear Regulatory Research.

[FR Doc. 96-6216 Filed 3-14-96; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 7590-01-P

## OFFICE OF MANAGEMENT AND BUDGET

#### **Budget Rescissions and Deferrals**

To the Congress of the United States

In accordance with the Congressional Budget and Impoundment Control Act of 1974, I herewith report three new deferrals and one revised deferral, totaling \$3.6 billion, and four rescission proposals of budgetary resources, totaling \$140 million.

These deferrals affect the International Security Assistance programs as well as programs of the Agency for International Development. The rescission proposals affect the Department of Defense.

William J. Clinton The White House

February 23, 1996.

BILLING CODE 3110-01-P